

Marie Münzová-Demlová

Transformations determining uniquely a monoid. IV: Weak determinancy

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 16 (1975), No. 4, 603--619

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105651>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

TRANSFORMATIONS DETERMINING UNIQUELY A MONOID IV  
WEAK DETERMINANCY

Marie MÜNZOVÁ-DEMLOVÁ, Praha

Dedicated to Prof. Š. Schwarz to his 60th-birthday

Abstract: This paper is a direct continuation of the paper [7].

Key words: Algebraic monoid, Cayley's representation, left translation, right translation, algebraic isomorphism.

AMS: 20M20

Ref. Ž.: 2.721.4

---

In this paper we shall use all conventions, notions and results given in [7].

First we are going to give an answer to the question of the form of a connected weakly determining translation with a bijective kernel.

Theorem 1. A connected translation  $f: X \rightarrow X$  with a bijective kernel is weakly determining if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- 1)  $f$  is a determining translation;
- 2)  $f$  is a bijective translation;
- 3) if  $Q_f \neq Z_f$ , then  $|X \setminus Q_f| \leq 2$  and for all  $x \in Q_f$  it is  $|f^{-1}(x)| \leq 2$ ;
- 4) for  $|Z_f| = p$ ,  $e$  being a top element,  $u(e) = 1$  and

the following three conditions are fulfilled:

- a) for all  $x \in Z_f$  it is  $|f^{-1}(x)| \neq 2$ ;
- b) there are no elements  $x, y \in A, z \in K$  such that  $(d(x) - d(y) = d(z)) \equiv \text{mod } p$ ;
- c) for all  $x \in A$  there exists an integer  $r(x)$  relatively prime to  $p$  and a set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset A \cup \{e\}$  such that the system

$$\{(d(x_1)r^{\ell} + d(x)(r(x))^{\ell-1} + r(x)^{\ell-2} + \dots + 1) \text{mod } p\}_{\ell=0,1,2,\dots,i=1,\dots,n}$$

forms the decomposition of the set  $\{d(y) \mid y \in A \cup \{e\}\}$ .

Remark. If Condition 3) or 4) is fulfilled for  $e \in X$ , then it is fulfilled for all  $x \in A$ . In this case  $A \cup \{e\}$  is the set of all top elements of  $f$ .

Proof: Evidently if  $f$  is a bijection, then  $f$  is a weakly determining translation. Consider  $f$  for which  $u(e) \geq 1$ . Using constructions in [5] and [6] and the fact given in [1] and [3] that every connected translation with a bijective kernel is a left translation of commutative monoid, we get the following assertions:

(A) If either  $Q_f \neq \emptyset$  and  $u(e) \geq 2$  or  $Q_f = \emptyset$ , then  $f$  is a weakly determining translation if and only if  $f$  is a determining one.

(B) If  $f$  is a weakly determining translation and  $u(e) = 1$  then for all  $x \in X$  it is  $|f^{-1}(x)| \leq 2$  and there are no elements  $x, y, z, u \in A \cup \{e\}$  with  $d_x(y) = d_z(u)$ .

Assume  $f$  is a translation with  $Q_f \neq \emptyset$  and  $u(e) = 1$  for which (B) holds. It is easy to show that in this case for a given top element  $e$  there is exactly one Cayley's T-monoid  $(X, L(M))$  for which  $e$  is an exact source.

Now let  $Q_f = Z_f$ . For an isomorphism  $\varphi$  between  $M_e$

and  $M_x, M_e, M_x$  being the only monoids containing  $f$  as a left translation and having  $e, x$  as the identity element, resp., we have  $\varphi(e) = x$ . Designate  $f(e) = a$ ,

$\varphi(a) = b \in Z_f$ . (It holds that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, thus  $\varphi(Z_f) = Z_f$  and therefore  $b = a^s$  in  $M_e$  for some  $s > 0$ .) Hence  $b = f^{s-d_e(x)}(x)$  and further for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $b^n$  in  $M_x$  is equal to  $f^{nr}(x)$ , where for simplicity we write  $r$  instead of  $s - d_e(x)$ . So  $\varphi(a^n) = f^{nr}(x) = f^{nr+d_e(x)}(e)$ . Take  $y \in A$ , then it holds  $a \cdot y = f^{d_e(y)+1}(e)$  (in  $M_e$ ), thus  $b \circ \varphi(y) = f^{(d_e(y)+1)r+d_e(x)}(e)$  and also by the definition of  $M_x$  it is  $b \circ \varphi(y) = f^r(\varphi(y)) = f^{r+d_e(\varphi(y))}(e)$ .

$$\text{Hence } d_e(\varphi(y)) = ((d_e(y)r + d_e(x)) \bmod p). \quad (*)$$

If  $(*)$  holds for all  $y \in A$ ,  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism between  $M_e$  and  $M_x$ . As  $M_e$  and  $M_x$  are finite (see 4)b) and of the same cardinality, it is sufficient for  $\varphi$  to be an isomorphism to have  $|\varphi(M_e)| = |M_x|$ . And it is fulfilled iff  $r$  is relatively prime to  $p$  and  $f$  satisfies Condition 4) for  $e$  and  $x$ .

Take  $f$  with  $Z_f = \emptyset, Q_f \neq \emptyset, u(e) = 1$ . If  $f$  fulfils Condition 3) then either  $f$  is a determining translation or  $A = \{x\}$ . Designate again  $f(e) = a$  and define  $\varphi: M_e \rightarrow M_x$  as follows:

$\varphi(x) = e, \varphi(e) = x, \varphi(a^n) = f^{d_e(x)-n+1}(e) \cap Q_f$   
 and  $\varphi(y) = f^{d_e(x)-n+1}(e) \cap Q_f$  for  $y \in Q_f$  with  $a^n y = a$ .  
 Such  $\varphi$  is an algebraic homomorphism, moreover it is a bijection, thus it is an isomorphism.

Suppose Condition 3) does not hold. Let  $e, x$  be two

distinct top elements,  $\varphi$  an isomorphism between  $M_e$  and  $M_x$ ,  $f(e) = a$ . As the left translation of  $a$  is connected, so is the left translation of  $\varphi(a)$  in  $M_x$ . But there are only two elements of  $M_x$  with connected left translation:  $b = f(x)$  and  $c = f^{-2}(f(x)) \cap Q_f$ .

First consider  $\varphi(a) = b$ . As  $b = a^{d_e(x)+1}$  (in  $M_e$ ) we have  $\varphi(b) = b^{d_e(x)+1}$  in  $M_x$ . Further  $b^{d_e(x)+1} = f^{d_e(x)+1}(x) = f^{2d_e(x)+1}(e)$ . On the other hand,  $\varphi(x) = z \notin Q_f$  ( $\varphi$  is a bijection), thus  $d_e(z) = 2d_e(x)$  and we have  $d_e(x) = d_x(z)$ , a contradiction with (B).

Similarly it can be shown that if  $\varphi(a) = c$ , the conditions from (B) do not hold for  $e, \varphi(u), x, u$ , where  $u \in T \setminus \{x, e\}$ .

Thus Theorem 1 has been proved.

Theorem 2. Let  $f$  be a connected non-surjective translation with an increasing kernel. Then  $f$  is weakly determining if and only if  $f$  is determining.

Proof: Evidently if  $f$  is a determining translation, thus it is also weakly determining.

Let  $f$  be a weakly determining translation. Using constructions in [6] and Construction 1, we get that either  $f$  is a determining translation or  $f$  has more than one top element and satisfies Conditions (ii) - (vi) from Theorem 3 in [6].

Suppose  $f$  has two distinct top elements  $e_1, e_2$ .  
 $f^{u(e_1)}(e_1) = f^{u(e_2)}(e_2)$  contradicts Condition (iv),  
 $f^{u(e_2)}(e_2) \subset T_{1,1} \cap Q_f$  contradicts Condition (vi) from

Theorem 3 given in [6]. Therefore  $f$  has exactly one top element, i.e.  $f$  is a determining translation.

Now we shall deal with a connected surjective translation which has an increasing kernel.

To formulate the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $f$  to be a weakly determining translation we introduce other notions. For a given  $x \in X$ ,  $N_x = f^{-1}(x) \setminus P_f(e)$ , define  $N = \{x \in X; |N_x| > 1\}$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$ , define an equivalence  $\sim$  as follows:

$$x \sim y \text{ iff } \mathcal{L}_x \text{ is isomorphic to } \mathcal{L}_y.$$

By  $[z]$  we shall mean the set

$$[z] = \{y \in X; y \in N_{f(z)} \text{ and } y \sim z\}.$$

To simplify the proof of the following theorem we give two assertions.

Lemma 11. Given  $x \in N$ ,  $x \sim y$  and  $g_1, g_2$  translations with (3). Then there exist bijections  $\varphi_1$  from  $N_x$  onto  $N_y$  and  $\varphi_2$  from  $N_{g_1(x)}$  onto  $N_{g_2(y)}$  satisfying the following properties:  $g_2 \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 g_1$ , (9)

$$\varphi_i(z) \sim z, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \text{for all } z \quad (10)$$

if and only if for every  $z \in N_x$  it holds

$$|\{u \in [z]; g_1(u) \sim g_1(z)\}| = |\{u \in [z]; g_2(u) \sim g_2(z)\}|,$$

where  $\bar{z} \in N_y$  and  $\bar{z} \sim z$ .

The proof is obvious.

Convention 1. Given  $x \in N$ ,  $g$  translation having Property (3). Denote by  $B_i^x$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , subsets of  $N_x$  as follows

- for all  $u \in B_1^x$  there is no  $z \in N_{g(x)}$  with  $g([u]) \subset [z]$ ;
- for all  $u \in B_2^x$  there is  $z \in N_{g(x)}$  with  $g([u]) = [z]$ ;

for all  $u \in B_3^x$  there is  $z \in N_{g(x)}$  with  $g([u]) \subseteq [z]$ .  
Denote by  $C_1^x = g(B_1^x)$ .

Lemma 12. Given  $x \in N$ ,  $x \sim y$  and  $g_1, g_2$  translations with (3). Let there exist bijections  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  from Lemma 11. Denote by  $B_1^x, C_1^x$  the sets defined relative to  $g_1$ ,  $\bar{B}_1^y, \bar{C}_1^y$  the sets defined relative to  $g_2$ . Let  $B_0$  be a subset of  $B_2^x$  having the following property:

for all  $u \in B_0, v \in B_2^x, v \sim u$  it is  $v \in B_0$ ,  
set  $C_0 = C_2^x \setminus g_1(B_0)$ .

Then for every bijections  $\varphi$  from  $B_3^x \cup B_0$  into  $\bar{B}_3^y \cup \bar{B}_2^y$  and  $\varphi'$  from  $C_1^x \cup C_0$  into  $\bar{C}_1^y \cup \bar{C}_2^y$  satisfying (10) there exists exactly one bijection  $\psi$  from  $N_x \cup N_{g_1(x)}$  onto  $N_y \cup N_{g_2(y)}$  satisfying (10) and such that

$$g_2 \psi = \psi g_1,$$

$$\psi|_{B_3^x \cup B_0} = \varphi, \psi|_{C_1^x \cup C_0} = \varphi'.$$

The proof is obvious.

Theorem 3. Given a connected surjective translation  $f$  with an increasing kernel. Then  $f$  is a weakly determining translation if and only if there is  $e \in T$  ( $T$  being the set of all top elements of  $f$ ),  $g$  having (3) for which the following holds:

- 1)  $J\mathcal{C}(f)|T$  is a transitive group.
- 2) For all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in N_x[y]$  is a finite set.
- 3) For all  $x \in N, y_1, y_2 \in N_x, y_1 \not\sim y_2$  such that  $g(y_1) \sim g(y_2)$  it holds: for all  $g_1$  with (3) and  $k$  being an integer

$$g_1^k(g(y_1)) \sim g_1^k(g(y_2)).$$

- 4) For all  $x \in N, y \in N_x$  such that there is  $g_1$  with (3)

and  $g^{-1}(g_1(y)) = \emptyset$  it holds: if  $z \in [y]$  then for all  $g_2$  with (3) and  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  it is

$$g^i(u) \sim g_2^i(y) .$$

5) For no  $x \in N, y_1, \dots, y_n \in N_x$  with  $y_i \neq y_j$ ,  $g(y_i) \neq g(y_j)$  for  $i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{y_i}$  embeddable into  $\mathcal{L}_{g(y_{i+1})}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{y_n}$  cannot be embedded into  $\mathcal{L}_{g(y_1)}$ .

6) For all  $x \in N$  and  $y \in N_x$  such that  $g^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$  it holds if  $y_1, y_2 \in L_y \cap T_{m,n}$ , then  $|f^{-1}(y_1)| = |f^{-1}(y_2)|$ .

7) For all  $T_{0,n} \cap N \neq \emptyset, n \geq 0$ , it is  $N \cap T_{0,n+1} = \emptyset$ .

8) Let  $x \in N \cap (X \setminus H_0), y \in N_x$  with  $g^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$ , let  $m$  be the smallest integer with  $g^{-1}(f^m(x)) \neq \emptyset$ ; then

$$|f^{-1} g^{-1}(h^{m-1} f^m(x)) \setminus P_f(e)| = 1 .$$

9) For all  $x \in T_{m,1}, m > 1$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_0$  can be embedded into  $\mathcal{L}_x$  it is  $g^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ .

10) If for some elements  $x_i \neq e, i = 1, 2, 3$  it holds  $g^{-1}(x_1) = h^{-1}(x_1) = \emptyset, x_i \in T_{m_i, n_i}, i = 1, 2, 3$  and for  $n_2 > m_1 f(x_3) = h^{n_1-1} f^{m_1}(x_2)$ , for  $n_2 \leq m_1, f(x_3) = g^{m_2} k^{n_2}(f(x_1))$ , then only some of the following possibilities may hold:

a)  $x_2 = x_3, x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $n_1 = n_2 = m_2$ ,

b)  $x_1 = x_3, x_1 \neq x_2$  imply  $n_2 = m_1, n_2 \geq n_1$  and  $f(x_3) = f(g^{m_2} k^{n_2}(f(x_3)))$ .

Proof of Theorem 3: In the first part of the proof we show that every weakly determining translation satisfies Conditions 1 - 10.

Denote by  $g, h, k$  the translations having Properties (3) and (4) for a top element  $e$ .



For the proof of necessity of Conditions 1 - 8 one can use Lemma 3; assuming the contrary of any of these conditions we get two quadruples  $e_i, g_i, h_i, k_i, i = 1, 2$  satisfying (3) and (4) for which a bijection

$$\varphi: X \rightarrow X, \quad \varphi(e_1) = e_2, \quad \varphi g_1 = g_2 \varphi, \quad \varphi h_1 = h_2 \varphi, \quad (11)$$

$$\varphi k_1 = k_2 \varphi$$

does not exist.

The necessity of Condition 9 follows from Construction 3.

The necessity of Condition 10b) follows from Construction 5. The only fact which is not evident is the following:

if Condition 10a) or b) is not fulfilled, then the assumptions of Construction 4 hold for some  $\bar{x}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Suppose  $x_2 = x_3$ , then for  $n_2 > m_1$  it must be  $m_1 = n_1 = 1$ . See  $m_1 = 0$  implies  $n_1 = 0$  and it contradicts  $x_1 \neq e$ . Assume  $n_2 \leq m_1$ , then  $x_3 \in T_{m_1 - n_2 + m_2, n_1}$ , hence from  $x_2 = x_3$  we have  $m_1 = n_2 = n_1$ . Thus if  $x_2 = x_3$  and  $x_1 \neq T_{1,1}$ , then  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3$ . In all cases we can set  $\bar{x}_i = x_1, i = 1, 2, 3$ .

The second part of the proof is to show that the conditions of Theorem 3 are also sufficient, i.e. that every  $f$  satisfying Conditions 1 - 10 is weakly determining. First we show that for every  $e_1, g_1, h_1, k_1$  fulfilling Conditions (3) and (4) and such that  $h_1(e) = k_1(e)$ , there exists a bijection  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(f)$  with (11).

Using Lemma 3 from this it follows that all monoids given by Construction 2 are isomorphic.

Let us prove that there exists exactly one  $\bar{k}$  (and thus

$\bar{k} = k$ ) such that  $e, g, h, \bar{k}$  satisfy (2) and (4) and  $h(e) = \bar{k}(e)$ . Using the induction on  $n, x$  being an element of  $T_{m,n}$ , and Condition 7 for  $m = 0$  and Condition 8 for  $m > 0$ , we get  $\bar{k}(x) = h\bar{k}f(x)$  for  $g^{-1}(x) = \emptyset$ . Thus for given  $e, g, h$  there is exactly one  $k$  with (4).

Obviously from Condition 6 we have for  $e, g$  and  $h_1 \in \mathcal{C}(g)$ ,  $fh_1 = 1_x$  a bijection  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(f, g)$  such that  $\psi(e) = e$ , and  $\psi h_1 = h\psi$ .

Now we prove that if Conditions 2 - 5 hold, then for one fixed  $e, g$  and arbitrary  $g_1$  with (3) there is a bijection  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(f)$  with  $\varphi(e) = e$  and  $\varphi g = g_1 \varphi$ . The proof of this assertion is divided into two steps: first we show that there are isomorphisms  $\varphi_m: \mathcal{X}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_m, \varphi'_m: \mathcal{X}_{m+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, m = 0, 1, \dots$  such that  $g_1 \varphi_m = \varphi'_m g$ .

This is proved by induction on  $k, x$  being an element of  $T_{m,k}$ . Suppose we have defined  $\varphi_m$  for all  $x \in \bigcup_{i \leq k} T_{m,i}, \varphi'_m$  for all  $x \in \bigcup_{i \leq k} T_{m+1,i}$  and moreover  $x \sim \varphi_m(x), \varphi'_m(g(x)) \sim g(x)$  for all  $x$ . Evidently  $\varphi_m(f^m(e)) = f^m(e), \varphi'_m(f^{m+1}(e)) = f^{m+1}(e)$  have the required property. Let us construct  $\varphi_m$  for elements of  $T_{m,k}, \varphi'_m$  for elements of  $T_{m+1,k}$ .

Take  $x \in T_{m,k-1}$ ; if  $x \notin N$  it can be easily shown that there is only one extending of  $\varphi_m$  on  $N_x, \varphi'_m$  on  $N_{g(x)}$  (use  $N_{g(x)} \sim N_x$ ) with the required properties.

b) Let  $x \in N$ . By Lemma 11 it is sufficient to show that for every  $y \in N_x$  the following holds: if  $\bar{y} \in N_{g_m(x)}, y \sim \bar{y}$ , then

$$|\{z \in [y]; g(z) \sim g(y)\}| = |\{z \in [\bar{y}]; g_1(z) \sim g(y)\}|.$$

Assume the contrary; we shall construct a sequence  $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  with the following properties:  $y_i \in N_x$ ,  $y_i \not\sim y_j$ ,  $g(y_i) \not\sim g(y_j)$ ,

$\mathcal{L}_{y_i}$  embeddable into  $\mathcal{L}_{g(y_{i+1})}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 0,$

$1, \dots$ , and

$|\{z \in [y_i]; g(z) \sim g(y_i)\}| > |\{z \in [\bar{y}_i]; g_1(z) \sim g(y_i)\}|$ ,

where  $\bar{y}_i \in N_{\varphi_m(x)}$ ,  $\bar{y}_i \sim y_i$ . By the assumption we know that

there is an element  $y$  with the required properties, put  $y_0 = y$ . Use an induction, let  $\{y_i\}_{i < k}$  be constructed and construct  $y_k$ . For  $y_{k-1}$  it holds

$|\{z \in [y_{k-1}]; g(z) \sim g(y_{k-1})\}| > |\{z \in [\bar{y}_{k-1}];$

$g_1(z) \sim g(y_{k-1})\}|$ ,  $\bar{y}_{k-1} \in N_{\varphi_m(x)}$ ,  $\bar{y}_{k-1} \sim y_{k-1}$ .

By Condition 2 there exists  $z_{k-1} \in [y_{k-1}]$  such that

$|\{z \in [y_{k-1}]; g(z) \sim g_1(z_{k-1})\}| < |\{z \in [\bar{y}_{k-1}];$

$g_1(z) \sim g_1(z_{k-1})\}|$

and moreover we can suppose that for this  $z_{k-1}$  it is  $g(z_{k-1}) \not\sim g(y_i)$  for  $i < k-1$  (use  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  fulfil Condition 5). Using Condition 2 and the induction assumption we get that there is  $y_k$  such that  $g_1(y_k) \sim g_1(z_{k-1})$  and

$|\{z \in [y_k]; g(z) \sim g(y_k)\}| > |\{z \in [\bar{y}_k]; g_1(z) \sim g(y_k)\}|$ ,

$\bar{y}_k \in N_{\varphi_m(x)}$ ,  $\bar{y}_k \sim y_k$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{y_{k-1}}$  embeddable into  $\mathcal{L}_{g(y_k)}$ .

Assuming that  $y_k \sim y_i$  for some  $i < k$  we get that

$y_{i+1}, \dots, y_k$  do not satisfy Condition 5. Hence we have constructed the sequence  $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ .

Now define  $g_2$  as follows:

for  $z \in X \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_{y_i}$  put  $g_2(z) = g(z)$ ,

$g_2|_{L_{y_1}}$  is an embedding of  $\mathcal{L}_{y_1}$  into  $\mathcal{L}_{g(y_{i+1})}$ . Evidently  $g_2$  has (3) and  $g_2^{-1}(g(y_0)) = \emptyset$ . By Condition 4 it is  $g(y_0) \sim g_1(y_1)$ , a contradiction.

Thus we have shown that bijections  $\varphi_m, \varphi'_m$  can be extended to  $N_x, x \in N$ .

Now let us construct a bijection  $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$  with the required properties.

The bijection will be constructed if we have a sequence of bijections  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}, \psi_k: \bigcup_{i=0}^{k+1} H_i \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=0}^{k+1} H_i$  such that

$$\psi_k \circ f = f \circ \psi_k \text{ and } g_1 \circ \psi_k = \psi_k \circ g$$

and moreover for all  $x \in X$  there is an integer  $k_x$  such that for all  $k > k_x$  it is  $\psi_k(x) = \psi_{k_x}(x)$ .

We shall construct a sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  by an induction on  $k$ . Take  $\psi_0 = \varphi_0 \cup \varphi'_0$ . Suppose we have  $\psi_i$  for all  $i < k$ ; the sequence  $\{\psi_i\}_{i < k}$  has the following property:

if  $\psi_i(z) \neq \psi_{i+1}(z), z \in T_{r,s}$ , then there is  $u \in T_{r,q} \cap N, q < s$  such that

$$g_1([y]) \not\subseteq [v] \text{ for any } v, y \in N_u.$$

Let us define  $\psi_k$  by an induction on  $n, x$  being an element of  $T_{i,n}, i \leq k+1$ .

Assume  $\psi_k$  is defined for all  $x \in T_{i,j}, i \leq k+1, j \leq n$ , define  $\psi_k|_{\bigcup_{i=0}^{k+1} T_{i,n+1}}$ . Take  $x \in T_{k,n}$ , if  $x \notin N$ , then evidently there is only one possibility of extending  $\psi_k$  to  $N_x$  with the required properties.

Assume  $x \in N$ , divide  $N_x$  into three parts  $B_1^x, B_2^x, B_3^x$  (see Convention 1) as in Lemma 12. Take  $\psi_{k-1}|_{B_3^x}$ ,

$\psi_{k-1} \mid B_2^x$  and  $\omega$  a bijection from  $C_1^x$  onto  $\overline{C}^{\psi_k(x)}$  such that for all  $z \in C_1^x$  it is  $z \sim \omega(z)$ . Using Lemma 12 we get  $\psi_k \mid N_x$  such that  $\psi_k \mid B_2^x \cup B_3^x = \psi_{k-1} \mid B_2^x \cup B_3^x$  and  $\psi_k \mid C_1^x = \omega$ .

Moreover, it holds:

for all  $u \in B_1^x$  there is an integer  $r_u$  such that  $g^{-r_u}(y) \neq \emptyset$  and  $g^{-(r_u+1)}(y) = \emptyset$  for all  $y \in B_1^x$ ,  $g(u) \sim g(y)$ . This assertion follows from Condition 4. Denote  $r = \max_{u \in B_1^x} (r_u)$  (evidently  $g^{-r}(x) \neq \emptyset$ ). Put  $z = g^{-1}(x)$ ,  $B_i^z$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . As Condition 4 holds we have  $g^{-1}(B_1^x) \subset B_1^z \cup B_2^z$ . Thus there is exactly one extending of  $\psi_k \mid B_1^x$ ,  $\psi_{k-1} \mid B_3^z \cup (B_2^z \setminus g^{-1}(B_1^x))$  to  $\psi_k \mid N_z$  (use Lemma 12). The proof goes by the induction up to  $N_{g^{-r}(x)}$ .

Given  $x \in T_{m,n}$ , suppose  $\psi_s(x) \neq \psi_{s+1}(x)$  for some  $s$ . By construction of  $\psi_{s+1}$  it means that there is  $y \in N \cap T_{s+1,q}$ ,  $q < n$  and there are  $u_1, u_2 \in g^{s+2-m}([x])$ ,  $u_1 \not\sim u_2$ . As  $[x]$  is a finite set (use Condition 2) so is  $N \cap T_{p,q}$  for  $q < n$ , hence there is only a finite number of  $s$  with  $\psi_s(x) \neq \psi_{s+1}(x)$ . Now  $k_x = \max s$  has the required property.

Hence the existence of a bijection  $\varphi$  with (11) has been shown.

Let  $e_1, g_1, h_1, k_1$  satisfy Conditions (3) and (4) and  $h_1(e_1) = k_1(e_1)$ . From Condition 1 the existence of a bijection  $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{C}(f)$  with  $\varphi_1(e_1) = e$  follows. Denote  $g' = \varphi_1 g_1 \varphi_1^{-1}$ ,  $h' = \varphi_1 h_1 \varphi_1^{-1}$ ,  $k' = \varphi_1 k_1 \varphi_1^{-1}$ . Translations  $g', h', k'$  with  $e$  have the property (3) and (4); thus we have a bijection  $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{C}(f)$  such that  $\varphi_2(e) = e$  and

$\varphi_2 g' = g \varphi_2$ . Put  $\bar{h} = \varphi_2 h' \varphi_2^{-1}$ ,  $\bar{k} = \varphi_2 k' \varphi_2^{-1}$ . Also  $e, g, \bar{h}, \bar{k}$  satisfy (3) and (4) and hence there is a bijection  $\varphi_3 \in \mathcal{C}(f, g)$  for which  $\varphi_3(e) = e$ ,  $\varphi_3 \bar{h} = h \varphi_3$ . Put  $\tilde{k} = \varphi_3 \bar{k} \varphi_3^{-1}$ . Define  $\varphi = \varphi_3 \varphi_2 \varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi$  is a bijection with (11). But we have proved that  $\tilde{k} = k$  (there is only one  $k$  with the property (4)), hence we have a bijection  $\varphi$  for which we can use Lemma 3.

Let now  $M'$  be an arbitrary monoid with  $f \in L(M')$ ,  $e'$  its identity element. In [2] it has been proved that there exist  $g', k' \in R(M')$ ,  $h' \in L(M')$  such that  $e', g'$  satisfy (3),  $fh' = kg' = 1_M$ , and  $k'(e') = h'(e')$ . Further in [2] it has been shown that there exists  $k''$  such that  $k''(e') = h'(e')$  and  $e', g', h', k''$  satisfy (4). So as we have shown in the previous part of the proof, there exists a bijection  $\psi$  with (11). Therefore  $f$  fulfils Conditions 1 - 10 for  $e', g', h'$ . So it holds  $m \geq 1$ ,  $k'(T'_{m,1}) \subset T'_{m-1,1}$  (the sets  $T'_{m,n}$  are defined relative to  $e'$ ). Assume the contrary, i.e. there is  $x \in T'_{m,1}$  and  $k'(x) = f^{m-2}(e)$ , hence the translation  $g_x$  is injective, but this is not possible because of Condition 9. Thus also  $e', g', h', k'$  have the properties (4) and so  $k'' = k'$ . The bijection  $\psi$  induces an isomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $M'$  onto  $\bar{M}$  such that  $f, h \in L(\bar{M})$ ,  $g, k \in R(\bar{M})$ . Denote by  $M$  the monoid given by Construction 2 and containing  $e, f, g, h, k$ . The proof will be finished if we show that  $M = \bar{M}$ .

We show even more, we give the proof of the following assertion: Let  $e, f, g, h, k$  be translations as above, then for every algebraic monoid  $\bar{M}$  with  $f, h \in L(\bar{M})$ ,  $g, k \in R(\bar{M})$

and  $e$  identity element of  $\bar{M}$ , it holds  $\bar{f}_x = f_x$  where  $f_x$  are translations given in Construction 2.

Define an ordering  $\leq$  as follows:  $(m,n) \leq (m',n')$  if  $m < m'$  or  $m = m'$  and  $n \leq n'$ . Evidently  $\leq$  is a well-ordering. We shall use an induction on  $(m,n)$  with the ordering  $\leq$ ,  $x \in T_{m,n}$ . Evidently  $T_{0,0} = \{e\}$  and  $\bar{f}_e = l_x = f_e$ .

Suppose  $\bar{f}_u = f_u$  for all  $u \in T_{m,n}$ ,  $(m',n') \rightarrow (m,n)$ . Take  $x \in T_{m,n}$ . Consider three cases:

1) Let  $x = h(y)$ , then  $y \in T_{m,n-1}$ , and  $\bar{f}_x = h\bar{f}_y = hf_y = f_x$ ; use  $\bar{f}_x(e) = h\bar{f}_y(e)$  and  $e$  is an exact source of  $L(\bar{M})$ .

2) Let  $x = g(y)$ , then  $y \in T_{m-1,n}$ , and  $\bar{f}_x = \bar{f}_y f = f_y f = f_x$ ; use  $\bar{f}_x(e) = \bar{f}_y f(e)$  and  $e$  is an exact source of  $L(\bar{M})$ .

3) Consider  $g^{-1}(x) = h^{-1}(x) = \emptyset$ . For the proof that for such  $x$  it holds  $\bar{f}_x(t) = f_x(t)$  we shall need an induction on  $(p,q)$ ,  $t$  being an element of  $T_{p,q}$ . Evidently  $\bar{f}_x(e) = f_x(e)$ . Assume for all  $u \in T_{p',q'}$ ,  $(p',q') \rightarrow (p,q)$ , it is  $\bar{f}_x(u) = f_x(u)$ ; take  $t \in T_{p,q}$ . Again we have three possibilities:

a) Consider  $t = h(v)$ , then  $\bar{f}_x(t) = h\bar{f}_{f(x)}(v) = hkf_{f(x)}(v) = f_x(t)$ , use  $\bar{f}_x(h(e)) = \bar{f}_{k(x)}(e)$ ,  $k(x) = hkf(x)$  and the induction assumption.

b) Consider  $t = g(v)$ , then  $\bar{f}_x(g(v)) = g\bar{f}_x(v) = gf_x(v) = f_x(t)$ , as  $v \in T_{p-1,q}$ .

c) Consider  $g^{-1}(t) = h^{-1}(t) = \emptyset$ . Let us suppose  $\bar{f}_x(t) = z$ . We know that  $f\bar{f}_x(t) = \bar{f}_{f(x)}(t) = f_{f(x)}(t)$ , hence  $\bar{f}_x(t) \in f^{-1}(f_{f(x)}(t))$ . If  $h^{-1}(z) \neq \emptyset$  then it is  $z = f_x(t)$ , for  $f_{f(x)}(t) = g^p k^q(f(x))$ , use the property

of  $k$ . Further  $k\bar{f}_x(t) = khk\bar{f}_{f(x)}(f(t)) = khkf_{f(x)}(f(t)) = kf_x(t)$ . Hence if  $g^{-1}(z) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $z = f_x(t)$ .

Therefore the only possibility of  $z = \bar{f}_x(t)$  to be  $z \neq f_x(t)$  is  $z$  with  $g^{-1}(z) = h^{-1}(z) = \emptyset$ . Consider there are three elements  $x, t, z$  with  $g^{-1}(a) = h^{-1}(a) = \emptyset$ ,  $a = x, t, z$  and  $z \in f^{-1}(f_{f(x)}(t))$ . As  $f(x) \in T_{m, n-1}$ ,  $n-1 \geq 0$  (use  $g^{-1}(x) = \emptyset$ ), we have for  $q > m$ ,  $z \in f^{-1}(h^{n-1}f^m(t))$  for  $q \leq m$ ,  $z \in f^{-1}(g^{p_k}f^q(x))$ . Using Condition 10 we know that there may be only two possibilities:

$\alpha$ )  $x \neq t$ ,  $t = z$  and  $n = p = q$ ; in this case we have  $t \in f^{-1}(g^{m_k}f^p(t))$ , thus  $m = p$  and  $t \in f^{-1}(g^{p_k}f^p(t))$  means that Condition 10 is not fulfilled for  $x_i = t$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Consider  $x \neq t$  and  $x = z$ . Assume  $q > m$ , then  $x \in f^{-1}(h^{n-1}f^m(t))$  implies  $x \in T_{p, q-m+n}$ ; therefore  $q = m$ , a contradiction. So  $q \leq m$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(g^{p_k}f^q(x))$ , i.e.  $p = q$ .

Suppose  $\bar{f}_x(t) = x$ , then  $\bar{f}_x \bar{f}_t(t) = \bar{f}_{\bar{f}_x(t)}(t) = \bar{f}_x(t) = x$ , thus  $\bar{f}_t(t) \neq f_t(t)$ . From this it follows  $q = m = p$  and  $p > n$  (use the induction assumption and  $\bar{f}_t \neq f_t$ ). Take  $\bar{z} = f^b(t)$ ,  $b \geq 0$  such that  $\bar{f}_{\bar{z}}(t) \neq f_{\bar{z}}(t)$  and  $\bar{f}_{f(\bar{z})} = f_{f(\bar{z})}$ . (Such element  $\bar{z}$  exists because  $f^p(t) = f^p(e)$ .) Suppose  $\bar{f}_t(t) = v$ , then  $\bar{f}_{\bar{z}}(t) = f^b \bar{f}_t(t) = f^b(v)$ . Further  $g^{-1}(\bar{z}) = h^{-1}(\bar{z}) = \emptyset$  (use the induction assumption and  $\bar{f}_{\bar{z}} \neq f_{\bar{z}}$ ). Moreover,  $g^{-1}(\bar{f}_{\bar{z}}(t)) = h^{-1}(\bar{f}_{\bar{z}}(t)) = \emptyset$ , the proof is exactly the same as the proof that  $g^{-1}(z) = h^{-1}(z) = \emptyset$ .

Therefore either  $f^b(v) \neq \bar{z}$  and  $\bar{z}, t, f^b(v)$  do not fulfil Condition 10b) or  $\bar{z} = f^b(v)$  and  $\bar{z} = t$  and again  $\bar{z}, t, f^b(v)$  do not fulfil Condition 10, ( $\bar{z} \neq t$  and  $\bar{z} = f^b(v)$ ) implies  $f(\bar{z}) = g^{p_k}f^p(\bar{z})$ .



We shall now deal with disconnected translations.

Theorem 4. A translation  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is weakly determining if and only if there is a top element  $e$  for which the following holds:

- 1)  $f|E_f(e)$  is a weakly determining translation;
- 2)  $Y$  has at most one element and  $|E_f(e)| > |Y|$  or  $f|Y$  is a disconnected permutation with  $Y \subset Z_f$ ,  $r(x)$  does not divide  $r(y)$  for any  $x, y \in Y$ ,  $x \notin E_f(y)$ .
- 3) If  $q \neq 1$  is a common division of all  $r(x)$ ,  $x \in Y$  then there exists  $x_0 \in Y$  such that for all  $p$  relatively prime to  $\frac{r(x_0)}{q}$  the expression  $\frac{r(x_0)r - q}{q^2}$  is not an integer.

Proof: Let  $e, e'$  be two top elements of  $f$ ; from Condition 2 it follows that  $e' \in E_f(e)$ . Suppose  $f \in L(M)$ ,  $M$  being an algebraic monoid. It can be seen that for  $f$  satisfying Conditions 2 and 3 it holds  $f_x(y) = x$  for all  $x \in Y$ ,  $y \in X$ . Moreover if Condition 2 or 3 does not hold then there are two non-isomorphic monoids (see constructions in [6] and Construction 2).

Let  $M_1, M_2$  be two monoids with  $f \in L(M_1)$ ,  $e_1 \in E_f(e_2)$ ,  $e_i$  identity element of  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and the left translations of  $M_1$  corresponding to elements of  $Y$  be constants, then for every bijection  $\bar{\varphi}: E_f(e_1) \rightarrow E_f(e_1)$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}^{-1} f_x(y) = f_{\bar{\varphi}(x)}(\bar{\varphi}(y))$ ,  $x, y \in E_f(e)$ ,  $f_x \in L(M_1)$ , the mapping  $\varphi$  define by

$$\varphi(x) = \bar{\varphi}(x) \text{ for } x \in E_f(e_1) \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi(x) = x \text{ for } x \in Y$$

is an algebraic isomorphism between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . On the

other hand, if there is an isomorphism  $\varphi$  between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , then  $\varphi|_{E_F(e_1)}$  is an isomorphism between monoids given by  $L(\overline{M}_1) = \{^1f_x | E_F(e_1), x \in E_F(e_1)\}$  and  $L(\overline{M}_2) = \{^2f_x | E_F(e_1); x \in E_F(e_1)\}$ .

Thus the proof has been finished.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] Z. HEDRLÍN, P. GORALČÍK: O sdivigach polugrupp I, periodičeskije i kvaziperiodičeskije preobrazovanija, Matem. časopis 18(1968),161-176.
- [2] P. GORALČÍK, Z. HEDRLÍN: O sdivigach pologrupp II, surjektivnyje preobrazovanija, Matem. časopis 18 (1968),263-272.
- [3] P. GORALČÍK: O sdivigach pologrupp III, preobrazovanija s uveličitelnoj i preobrazovanija s nepravilnoj častju, Matem. časopis 18(1968),273-282.
- [4] P. GORALČÍK, Z. HEDRLÍN: On reconstructions of monoids from their table fragments, Math. Z. 122,82-92 (1971).
- [5] M. MŮNZOVÁ: Transformations determining uniquely a monoid, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 11(1970), 595-618.
- [6] M. MŮNZOVÁ: Transformations determining uniquely a monoid II, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 15(1974), 311-333.
- [7] M. MŮNZOVÁ-DEMLOVÁ: Transformations determining uniquely a monoid III, weak determinancy, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 16(1975),549-566.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 22.4. 1975)