

Miroslav Hušek; Jan Pelant

Note about atom-categories of topological spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 4, 767--773

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105596>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## NOTE ABOUT ATOM-CATEGORIES OF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

M. HUŠEK and J. PELANT, Praha

Abstract: Minimal members of the "lattice" of epireflective subcategories of topological spaces are investigated. They are in a close connection with subspaces of Čech-Stone compactifications of discrete spaces.

Key-words: Epireflective subcategory, Čech-Stone compactification.

AMS: 18A40, 54 O20

Ref. Ž.: 3.969

-----

All topological spaces are assumed to be completely regular Hausdorff; the category of all such spaces together with continuous mappings will be denoted by  $\text{Top}_{CR}$ .

We are going to investigate the ordering given by inclusion between epireflective subcategories of  $\text{Top}_{CR}$  (by Kennison theorem, [K], between closed-hereditary and productive classes of topological spaces). We shall use without references simple facts about epireflective subcategories (see e.g.: [M<sub>2</sub>],[H<sub>1</sub>],[H<sub>2</sub>]). The epireflective categories  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  of  $E$ -compact spaces will play a great role in the sequel ( $E$ -compact spaces, [M<sub>1</sub>], are homeomorphisms of closed subspaces of powers  $E^m$ ). The first fact that is relevant to our consideration is due to Mrówka, [M<sub>2</sub>]: Let  $N$  be a countable discrete topological space and let  $D(2)$  be a two-point discrete space; then there is no

epireflective subcategory  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{K}(D(2)) \not\subseteq \mathcal{K} \not\subseteq \mathcal{K}(N)$ . We take this property as a foundation for the following definition:

Definition. Let  $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}$  be epireflective subcategories of  $\text{Top}_{CR}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be an atom-category above  $\mathcal{K}$  if  $\mathcal{L} \not\subseteq \mathcal{K}$  and there is no epireflective subcategory  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\text{Top}_{CR}$  such that  $\mathcal{K} \not\subseteq \mathcal{M} \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}$ .

Atom-categories above  $\mathcal{K}(D(2))$  will be called briefly atom-categories. The Mrówka's result quoted above asserts that  $\mathcal{K}(N)$  is an atom-category. It is clear that atom-categories are of the form  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  for a suitable space  $E$  and that they are minimal in the sense that the only epireflective subcategories of  $\text{Top}_{CR}$  strictly contained in them are the categories  $\mathcal{K}(D(2))$  and  $\mathcal{K}(D(1))$ . R. Blefko was interested in the question whether  $\mathcal{K}(T\omega_\alpha)$  are atom-categories ( $T\omega_\alpha$  is the ordered space of all ordinals less than  $\omega_\alpha$ ); the answer was negative [B<sub>1</sub>],[B<sub>2</sub>], if  $\text{cf}\omega_\alpha \neq \omega_0$ , of course. Nevertheless, it is proved in [P] that there is an atom-category  $\mathcal{K}(A_\alpha)$  contained in  $\mathcal{K}(T\omega_\alpha)$  for any  $\omega_\alpha$  and, moreover,  $A_\alpha$  can be chosen in such a way that  $\mathcal{K}(P \times A_\alpha)$  ( $\text{card } P > 2$ ) is an atom-category above  $\mathcal{K}(P)$  for regular ordinals  $\omega_\alpha$  provided  $\text{comp } \mathcal{K}(P) > \omega_\alpha$  (by  $\text{comp } \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  a class of topological spaces, we mean  $\min \{ \alpha \mid \exists X \in \mathcal{B}, \exists A \subset X, \text{card } A = \alpha, \bar{A}^X \text{ is not compact} \}$  if it exists, i.e., if  $\mathcal{B}$  contains non-

compact spaces).

The aim of this paper is to exhibit other examples of atom-categories and to give properties of a topological space  $E$  sufficient for  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  to contain an atom-category.

We have mentioned that atom-categories are simple but we can say more about "generators" of such categories ( $\beta P$  is the Čech-Stone compactification of  $P$ ,  ${}^\alpha \bar{X} = \cup \{ \bar{A} \mid A \subset X, \text{card } A < \alpha \}$ );

Proposition 1: Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be an atom-category containing noncompact spaces. Then there is an object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{K}(X) = \mathcal{K}$ ,  $D \subset X \not\subseteq \beta D$ ,  ${}^\alpha \bar{X}^{\beta D} = X$  where  $D$  is a discrete space of cardinality  $\alpha = \text{comp } \mathcal{K}$ .

Proof: Put  $X = \beta_{\mathcal{K}} D$ , the reflection of  $D$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . We do not know whether the following converse of Proposition 1 is true: Let  $D$  be a discrete space of cardinality  $\alpha$ ,  $D \subset X \not\subseteq \beta D$ ,  ${}^\alpha \bar{X}^{\beta D} = X$ ,  $\beta_{\mathcal{K}(X)} D = X$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is an atom-category.

We can prove the converse in special cases, e.g. if  $\alpha = \omega_0$  or  $X = {}^\alpha \bar{D}^{\beta D}$ ,  $\text{card } D = \alpha$  is regular. ( $P$  is a strongly discrete subset of  $Q$  if there is a disjoint open family  $\{U_p \mid p \in P\}$  in  $Q$  with  $p \in U_p$ .)

Theorem 1. Suppose that  $D$  is a discrete space of cardinality  $\alpha$ ,  $D \subset X \not\subseteq \beta D$ ,  ${}^\alpha \bar{X}^{\beta D} = X$ ,  $\beta_{\mathcal{K}(X)} D = X$  and

that each subset of  $X$  of cardinality  $\alpha$  and with non-compact closure in  $X$  contains a strongly discrete subset of the same cardinality. Then  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is an atom-category.

Proof: Let  $E \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $E$  be noncompact ( $\mathcal{K}(D(2))$  is a class of all compact spaces contained in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ ). We have to prove that  $X \in \mathcal{K}(E)$ . We may suppose that  $E$  is a closed subspace of  $X^I$ . There is an  $i \in I$  such that  $\overline{\nu_i[E]^X}$  is not compact and, thus,  $\text{card } \nu_i[E] \geq \alpha$ . By the assumption, there is a strongly discrete subset  $A$  of  $\nu_i[E]$ ,  $\text{card } A = \alpha$ , with the corresponding disjoint open family  $\{U_\alpha\}$ . Making use of the equality  $\beta_{\mathcal{K}(X)} D = X$  we can prove that  $\bar{A}^X$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  (if  $\varphi: A \rightarrow D$  is bijective, there is an  $f: D \rightarrow D$  such that the continuous extension  $\tilde{f}$  on  $\beta D$  into  $\beta D$  extends  $\varphi$ ; then  $\tilde{f}/\bar{A}^X$  is the homeomorphism). Now, let  $g: A \rightarrow E$  be a bijective mapping with the inverse  $\nu_i/g[A]$ . There exists a continuous extension  $\tilde{g}: \bar{A}^X \rightarrow E$  which must be a homeomorphism then. Consequently,  $X$  can be embedded as a closed subspace into  $E$ .

As mentioned above, the condition about strongly discrete subsets is clearly fulfilled if  $\alpha = \omega_0$  or if  $X = \overline{\alpha D}^{\beta D}$ ,  $\text{card } D = \alpha$  is regular. In the second case we receive atom-categories  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  contained in  $\mathcal{K}(T\omega_\alpha)$  and described in [P]. The first can give:

Theorem 2. If  $\mathcal{K}$  is an epireflective subcategory of  $\text{Top}_{CR}$  containing an object which is not strongly

countably compact (i.e.,  $\text{comp } \mathcal{K} = \omega_0$ ), then there exists an atom-category  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$ .

We do not know whether Theorem 2 holds generally without any assumption on  $\text{comp } \mathcal{K}$ . To prove a more general version one must remove condition on strongly discrete subsets in Theorem 1 because as Hajnal and Juhász [HJ] proved under generalized continuum hypothesis, for any infinite cardinal  $\alpha$ , there exists a set  $A$  in  $\beta D$ ,  $\text{card } D = \alpha$ , such that  $\text{card } A = 2^{2^\alpha}$  and no uncountable  $B \subset A$  is strongly discrete.

Theorem 1 for  $\alpha = \omega_0$  suggests the following construction of spaces  $X$  generating atom-categories (we write  $\tilde{f}$  for the continuous extension of  $f: N \rightarrow \beta N$  on  $\beta N$ ). Let  $X_0 \supset N$  and all  $X_\xi$ ,  $\xi < \eta$ , be defined; then we put  $X_\eta = \bigcup \{ \tilde{f} [ \bigcup_{\xi < \eta} X_\xi ] \mid f: N \rightarrow \bigcup_{\xi < \eta} X_\xi \}$ .

It is easy to prove the following properties of  $\{X_\xi\}$ : if  $\xi \leq \eta$ , then  $X_\xi \subset X_\eta$ ; if  $f: N \rightarrow X_\xi$  then  $\tilde{f} [X_\xi] \subset X_{\xi+1}$ ;  $X_{\omega_1} = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} X_\xi$ . It follows that  $X_{\omega_1+1} = X_{\omega_1}$  and  $\beta_{\mathcal{K}(X_{\omega_1})} N = X_{\omega_1}$ , i.e. by Theorem 1 that  $\mathcal{K}(X_{\omega_1})$  is an atom-category provided  $X_{\omega_1} \neq \beta N$ . This last condition is guaranteed by the assumption  $\text{card } X_0 \leq 2^{\omega_0}$  (then  $\text{card } X_{\omega_1} \leq 2^{\omega_0}$ ). One can deduce that there is exactly  $2^{2^{\omega_0}}$  different atom-categories  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  generated by the spaces  $X$  with properties  $N \subset X \subseteq \beta N$ ,  $\beta_{\mathcal{K}(X)} N = X$ ,  $\text{card } X \leq 2^{\omega_0}$ .

Remark: Proposition 10 of [P] can be generalized: Let  $\mathcal{K}(A)$  be an atom-category,  $\text{comp } A = \alpha$ ,  $D(\alpha) \subset A \subset \beta D(\alpha)$ . Suppose that each non-compact set of  $A$  contains a strongly discrete subset of cardinality  $\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an epi-reflective subcategory of  $\text{Top}_{CR}$ ,  $\text{comp } \mathcal{P} > \alpha$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{K}(A) \vee \mathcal{P}$  the least epi-reflective subcategory of  $\text{Top}_{CR}$  containing both  $\mathcal{K}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ . This category is an atom-category above  $\mathcal{P}$ .

R e f e r e n c e s :

- [B<sub>1</sub>] BLEFKO R.: On  $E$ -compact spaces, Thesis, University Park, Pennsylvania.
- [B<sub>2</sub>] " : Some classes of  $E$ -compactness, Austr. Math.J. (1972), 492-500.
- [EM] ENGELKING R., MRÓWKA S.: On  $E$ -compact spaces, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. 6 (1958), 429-436.
- [H<sub>1</sub>] HERRLICH H.:  $\mathcal{E}$ -kompakte Räume, Math.Z. 96 (1967), 228-255.
- [H<sub>2</sub>] " : Topologische Reflexionen und Coreflexionen, Springer-Verlag, 1968.
- [HJ] HAJNAL A., JUHÁSZ I.: On disjoint representation of ultrafilters, Theory of sets and topology, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1972, edited by G. Asser, J. Flachsmejer and W. Rinow.
- [K] KENNISON J.F.: Reflective functors in general topology and elsewhere, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1964), 303-315.
- [M<sub>1</sub>] MRÓWKA S.: A property of Hewitt extension  $\gg X$  of topological spaces, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. 6 (1958), 95-96.

[M<sub>2</sub>] MRÓWKA S.: Further results on  $E$ -compact spaces, Acta Math.120(1968),161-185.

[P] PELANT J.: Lattice of  $E$ -compact topological spaces, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 14(1973),719-738.

Matematický ústav

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 4.10.1974)