

Pavel Doktor

On the density of smooth functions in certain subspaces of Sobolev space

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 14 (1973), No. 4, 609--622

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105513>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE DENSITY OF SMOOTH FUNCTIONS IN CERTAIN SUBSPACES
OF SOBOLEV SPACE

P. DOKTOR, Praha

Abstract: In the present paper, some results concerning density of smooth functions in certain classes of functions $f \in W_2^{(1)}(\Omega)$ are established. As a consequence, some conditions are given under which the class of spaces $W_{\frac{1}{t}} \subset W_2^{(1)}$ tends to some limit space W_0 .

Key words: Sobolev spaces, density of smooth functions.

AMS: 46E35

Ref. Ž. 7.972.272

§ 1. Introduction, notations. In this paper, we use the notation of the book [1]. Let $\Omega \subset E_N$ ($N \geq 2$) be a bounded domain in the Euclidean space E_N . We say that Ω has a lipschitzian boundary $\partial\Omega$ (or $\Omega \in \mathcal{N}^{(0),1}$) iff the boundary of Ω is locally representable as a graph of a lipschitzian function which divides a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the point in question into two parts belonging to the interior and exterior of Ω , respectively. (For details, see [1, p.15].)

Let $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ be a relatively open set (i.e. open in the metric space $\partial\Omega$). We say that Γ has a lipschitzian relative boundary $\partial^*\Omega$ (i.e. the boundary

in the metric space $\partial\Omega$) iff it has the following property:

Let x_0 be an arbitrary point of $\partial^*\Omega$ and let $\mathcal{U}(x_0)$ be a neighbourhood of x_0 such that $\mathcal{U}(x_0) \cap \partial\Omega$ is expressed as a graph: $x_N = a(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$. Let further G be the image of $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U}(x_0)$ in the projection on the hyperplane x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1} with the boundary ∂G . Then G has the same property as Ω , i.e. ∂G is locally representable as a graph of the Lipschitzian function of $N-2$ variables (obviously this definition is independent on the description of $\partial\Omega$).

By $W_2^{(1)}(\Omega)$ we denote the Sobolev space of all square integrable functions μ such that their first derivatives (in the sense of distributions)

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_N} \quad \text{are also } L_2 \text{-func-}$$

tions. Introduce the norm in $W_2^{(1)}(\Omega)$ by

$$(1) \quad \|\mu\|_1 = \|\mu\|_{1,\Omega} = \left\{ \|\mu\|_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_i} \right\|_0^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where

$$(2) \quad \|\mu\|_0 = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\mu|^2 dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By $W_2^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\Omega)$ we denote the space of all square integrable functions for which

$$(3) \quad \|\mu\|_{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|\mu(x) - \mu(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N-1}} dx dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$

with the norm

$$(4) \quad \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}} = \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}, \Omega} = \{ \|u\|_0^2 + \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \}^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

Throughout the whole paper we suppose all functions to be defined on E_N and equal zero outside their natural domain of definition.

Let ω_h be a mollifier:

$$(5) \quad \omega_h = \begin{cases} c h^{-N} \exp \frac{|x|^2}{|x|^2 - h^2} & |x| < h \\ 0 & |x| \geq h \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{E_N} \omega_h(x) dx = \int_{E_N} \omega_h(x) dx = 1 .$$

Then the convolution

$$(6) \quad (\omega_h * u)(x) = \int_{E_N} \omega_h(x-y) u(y) dy = \int_{E_N} \omega_h(y) u(x-y) dy$$

is well-defined for $u \in L_2(\Omega)$ and its restriction onto $\bar{\Omega}$ belongs to the space $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ i.e. the space of all infinitely differentiable functions continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$ together with their derivatives of arbitrary order. (For this and for other properties of ω_h see [1, p.58, p. 60].)

Let $x \in E_N$ be an arbitrary point, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N)$. We write for brevity $x = (x', x_N)$, where $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) \in E_{N-1}$.

By $\text{supp } u$ we denote the closure of the set $\{x \in E_N \mid u(x) \neq 0\}$. Using this notation, we denote $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) \mid \text{supp } u \subset \Omega\}$.

§ 2. An auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 1. Let Ξ be N -dimensional parallelepiped

$$(7) \quad \Xi = \{x \in E_N \mid x' \in \Delta, x_N \in (-\beta, 0)\},$$

where $\Delta = (-\alpha, \alpha)^{N-1}$ and α, β are positive numbers.

Let $G \subset \bar{G} \subset \Delta$ be a domain with a lipschitzian boundary; let G_m be a sequence of sets with the following property: for any open set $U \subset \Delta$, $\bar{G} \subset U$ there exists m_0 such that for $m > m_0$: $\bar{G}_m \subset U$.

Let us denote $\Xi_1 = (-\alpha, \alpha)^{N-1} \times (-\beta, \beta)$. Further, let us denote $\Gamma = G \times \{0\}$ and let $K \subset \Xi_1$ be a compact set.

Then there exists a compact set $K_1 \in \Xi_1$ (which depends only on K) with the following property:

Let $u \in W_2^{(1)}(\Xi)$ be an arbitrary function which equals zero on Γ (in the sense of traces) and $\text{supp } u \subset K$.

Then there exists a sequence $u_m, u_m \in C^\infty(\bar{\Xi}_1)$ such that $\text{supp } u_m \subset K_1 \setminus \bar{\Gamma}_m$, where $\bar{\Gamma}_m = \bar{G}_m \times \{0\}$ and $u_m \rightarrow u$ in the space $W_2^{(1)}(\Omega)$.

Proof: According to the assumptions $\bar{G} \subset \Delta$, $K \subset \Xi_1$ we have

$$(8) \quad \min(\text{dist}(\bar{G}, E_{N-1} \setminus \Delta), \text{dist}(K, E_N \setminus \Xi_1)) = \nu > 0.$$

Denote successively

$$U_\lambda(G) = \{x' \in \Delta \mid \text{dist}(x', G) < \lambda\},$$

$$(9) \quad V_\lambda(G) = \{x' \in \Delta \mid \text{dist}(x', G) < \frac{3}{4} \lambda\},$$

$$W_\lambda(G) = \{x' \in \Delta \mid \text{dist}(x', G) < \frac{1}{2} \lambda\},$$

$$Z_\lambda(G) = \{x' \in \Delta \mid \text{dist}(x', G) < \frac{1}{4} \lambda\},$$

and, correspondently,

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} U_\lambda(\Gamma) &= U_\lambda(G) \times (-\lambda, \frac{1}{4} \lambda), \\ V_\lambda(\Gamma) &= V_\lambda(G) \times (-\frac{3}{4} \lambda, \frac{1}{4} \lambda), \\ W_\lambda(\Gamma) &= W_\lambda(G) \times (-\frac{1}{2} \lambda, \frac{1}{4} \lambda), \\ Z_\lambda(\Gamma) &= Z_\lambda(G) \times (-\frac{1}{4} \lambda, \frac{1}{4} \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where λ is supposed to be sufficiently small:

$$(11) \quad \lambda < \frac{1}{2} \nu.$$

Let us put $h = \frac{1}{4} \lambda$ and

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_\lambda(x', x_N) &= \mu(x', x_N - h) && (x', x_N) \in E_N, \\ v_\lambda(x) &= \begin{cases} 0 & x \in W_\lambda(\Gamma), \\ \mu_\lambda(x) & x \in \Xi \setminus \overline{W_\lambda(\Gamma)}, \end{cases} \\ w_\lambda(x) &= (\omega_h * v_\lambda)(x). \end{aligned}$$

We see immediately that $\mu_\lambda \in W_2^{(1)}(\Xi)$. It follows from (8), (11) that $\text{supp } w_\lambda \subset K_1$, where $K_1 = \{x \in E_N \mid \text{dist}(x, K) < \frac{\nu}{2}\} \subset \Xi_1$ depends only on K , and that $w_\lambda(x) = 0$ for $x \in Z_\lambda(\Gamma)$ so that there exists $m(\lambda)$ such that for any $m > m(\lambda)$: $(\text{supp } w_\lambda) \cap \overline{\Gamma}_m = \emptyset$. In the following we show: $\|\mu - w_\lambda\|_{1, \Xi} \rightarrow 0$ for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ which proves the lemma.

Let us denote $\sigma = \Xi \setminus \overline{V_\lambda(\Gamma)}$, $P = V_\lambda(\Gamma) \cap \Xi_1$ and $\Xi_2 = \Delta \times (-\beta, h)$. The proof proceeds as follows: we write $\|w_\lambda - \mu\|_{1, \Xi} \leq \| \mu - u_\lambda \|_{1, \Xi} + \| u_\lambda - w_\lambda \|_{1, \sigma} + \| u_\lambda \|_{1, P} + \| w_\lambda \|_{1, P}$

and prove successively that all the right hand terms tend to zero. The main difficulty is to prove that $\|w_\lambda\|_{1, P} \rightarrow 0$ particularly to prove $\| \frac{\partial w_\lambda}{\partial x_1} \|_{0, P} \rightarrow 0$.

We obtain as an immediate consequence of the mean continuity of L_2 -functions (see [1, p.57]) that

$\| \mu - u_\lambda \|_{1, \Xi} \rightarrow 0$ and, because of the absolute continuity of integral, $\| \mu - u_\lambda \|_{1, \Xi} \rightarrow 0$. Further, obviously

$$v_\lambda \in W_2^{(1)}(\Xi_2 \setminus \overline{V_\lambda(\Gamma)}) \quad \text{and so } x \in \sigma \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\omega_{h_i} * v_\lambda) = \omega_{h_i} * \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} v_\lambda.$$

It follows from this implication that $\| u_\lambda - w_\lambda \|_{1, \sigma} \rightarrow 0$ and hence our task is now to estimate the function w_λ as an element of $W_2^{(1)}(P)$. To this end, let us denote $Q = U_\lambda(\Gamma) \setminus \overline{V_\lambda(\Gamma)}$. Because of the choice of h_i we obtain for $x \in P$

$$(13) \quad w_\lambda(x) = \int_{\Xi_N} \omega_{h_i}(y-x) v_\lambda(y) dy = \int_Q \omega_{h_i}(y-x) u_\lambda(y) dy$$

and, similarly,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{\lambda_i}(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} w_\lambda(x) = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \omega_{h_i}(x-y) u_\lambda(y) dy = \\ &= - \int_Q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \omega_{h_i}(x-y) \right) u_\lambda(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using the standard technique of mollifiers, see e.g.

[1, p.58] we obtain $\|w_\lambda - u_\lambda\|_{0, E_N} \rightarrow 0$ and hence

$\|w_\lambda\|_{0, P} \rightarrow 0$ in virtue of the absolute continuity of the integral. Let us now consider the L_2 -norm of ψ_i .

1) Let $i = N$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that O has a lipschitzian boundary (in the case of necessity, we can replace the boundary of $Z_\lambda(G)$ by the infinite differentiable hypersurface which uniformly approximates ∂G (see [21] and construct the domains $U_\lambda(G)$ etc. with respect to this regularization). In that case, we can use the Green formula (see [1, p.121]) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_N(x) = & \int_G \omega_{\lambda_N}(x-y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_N} u_\lambda(y) dy + \int_{U_\lambda(G)} \omega_{\lambda_N}(x-(y', -\lambda)) u_\lambda(y', -\lambda) dy' - \\ & - \int_{W_\lambda(G)} \omega_{\lambda_N}(x-(y', -\frac{1}{2}\lambda)) u_\lambda(y', -\frac{1}{2}\lambda) dy' - \\ & - \int_{U_\lambda(G) \setminus W_\lambda(G)} \omega_{\lambda_N}(x-(y', \frac{1}{4}\lambda)) u_\lambda(y', \frac{1}{4}\lambda) dy'. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral tends to zero in $L_2(P)$ the second and the fourth equal zero for $x \in P$. Let us consider the third integral

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{N,3}(x) = & \int_{W_\lambda(G)} (x-(y', -\frac{1}{2}\lambda)) u_\lambda(y', -\frac{1}{2}\lambda) dy' = \\ & = \int_{W_\lambda(G)} \omega_{\lambda_N}(x-(y', -\frac{1}{2}\lambda)) u_\lambda(y', -\frac{3}{4}\lambda) dy'. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad |\psi_{N,3}(x)|^2 \leq & \left(\int_{E_{N-1}} [\omega_{\lambda_N}(x-(y', -\frac{1}{2}\lambda))]^2 dy' \right) \left(\int_{|y'-x| < \frac{\lambda}{2}} u^2(y', -\frac{3}{4}\lambda) dy' \right) = \\ & = \left(\int_{E_{N-1}} \omega_{\lambda_N}^2(x, x_N + \frac{1}{2}\lambda) dx' \right) \left(\int_{|x'-y'| < \frac{\lambda}{2}} u^2(y', -\frac{3}{4}\lambda) dy' \right), \end{aligned}$$

and, integrating (15) over P we obtain

$$\|\psi_{N,3}\|_{0,P}^2 \leq \left(\int_{E_N} \omega_{h_1}^2(x) dx \right) \left(\int_{U_\lambda(G)} dx' \int_{|x'-y'| < h_1} \mu^2((y', -\frac{3}{4}\lambda)) dy' \right).$$

We have

$$(16) \quad \int_{E_N} \omega_{h_1}^2(x) dx = c h^{-N}.$$

The Fubini theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U_\lambda(G)} dx' \int_{|x'-y'| < h_1} \mu^2 dy' &\leq \int_{U_\lambda(G)} dy' \int_{|y'-x'| < h_1} \mu^2 dx' = \\ &= c_1 h^{N-1} \int_{U_\lambda(G)} \mu^2((y', -\frac{3}{4}\lambda)) dy' \end{aligned}$$

and thus we have

$$\|\psi_{N,3}\|_{0,P}^2 \leq c_2 h^{-1} \int_{U_\lambda(G)} \mu^2((y', -\frac{3}{4}\lambda)) dy'.$$

Let us consider the last integral. We obtain for $y' \in e_{U_\lambda(G)}$ (supposing at first μ to be small enough and extending the result by continuity):

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((y', -\frac{3}{4}\lambda)) &= \mu((y', 0)) + \int_0^{-\frac{3}{4}\lambda} \mu((y', \xi)) d\xi, \\ \mu^2((y', -\frac{3}{4}\lambda)) &\leq 2 [\mu^2((y', 0)) + \frac{3}{4}\lambda \int_{-\lambda}^{\frac{1}{4}\lambda} \mu^2((y', \xi)) d\xi] \end{aligned}$$

(Hölders inequality), and hence

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1} \int_{U_\lambda(G)} \mu^2((y', -\frac{3}{4}\lambda)) dy' &\leq 2 [h^{-1} \int_{U_\lambda(G)} \mu^2((y', 0)) dy' + \\ &+ 3 \int_{U_\lambda(G)} \mu^2((y', \xi)) dy' d\xi]. \end{aligned}$$

The absolute continuity of integral implies

$$\int_{U_\lambda(G)} \mu^2((y', \xi)) dy' d\xi \rightarrow 0 \quad (\lambda \rightarrow 0)$$

and further we have

$$\int_{U_2(G)} \mu^2((y', 0)) dy' = \int_{U_2(G) \setminus G} \mu^2((y', 0)) dy' .$$

It follows from the imbedding theorems (see [3]) that $\mu((y', 0)) \in W_2^{(1)}(\Delta)$ and again from these theorems $\mu \in L_2(\partial G)$ in the sense of traces. Then the convergence

$$\int_{U_2(G) \setminus G} \mu^2((y', 0)) dy' \rightarrow 0$$

follows from the properties of traces. Of course, locally we have

$$\int_R \mu^2((y', 0)) dy' \leq \int_{R^n} \left(\int_{a(x'')}^{\alpha(x'')+2\lambda} \mu^2((x'', x_{N-1}, 0)) dx_{N-1} \right) dx'' ,$$

where R is the intersection of $U_2(G) \setminus G$ with some suitable neighbourhood $R_1 = \{(x'', x_{N-1}) | x'' \in \bar{R}, x_{N-1} \in (a(x'') - \lambda, a(x'') + \lambda)\}$ of any fixed point of ∂G , and a is the function which represents ∂G with respect to the local system of axes $(x'', x_{N-1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-2}, x_{N-1})$. The function

$$\Phi(\eta) = \int_{R^n} \mu^2((x'', a(x'') + \eta, 0)) dx''$$

is the continuous function of η (see [3]) and so making the change of variables $\eta = x_{N-1} - a(x'')$ and applying Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_R \mu^2((y', 0)) dy' \leq 8 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_0^{2\lambda} \Phi(\eta) d\eta = 8\Phi(0) = 0 ,$$

q.e.d.

2) Let $i = N - 1$. Similarly as above, by means of the Green formula we reduce our problem to the consideration of the surface integral

$$(17) \quad I = \hbar^{-1} \int_S \mu^2 d\mu \quad ,$$

where $S = \partial W_\lambda(G) \times (-\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{4})$. Passing to local systems of axes $(x_1, \dots, x_{N-2}, x_{N-1}, \psi_N)$ ($(x_1, \dots, x_{N-2}, x_{N-1}) = (x'', x_{N-1})$ as above) we estimate the integral (17) by a sum of terms

$$(18) \quad \hbar^{-1} \int_{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\frac{\lambda}{4}} d\psi_N \int_{R''} \mu^2((x'', a_S(x''), \psi_N)) \sqrt{1 + |\nabla a_S(x'')|^2} dx''$$

where a_S is the local representation of S . Easy calculation yields $|\nabla a_S|^2 < C$ where C depends only on the Lipschitz constant of the function a which locally represents ∂G . Using this fact we obtain as above

$$\hbar^{-1} \int_S \mu^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \iff \hbar^{-1} \int_{\partial G \times (-\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{4})} \mu^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad .$$

However, the last integral tends to zero thanks to the properties of traces (remember $\mu = 0$ on ∂G), q.e.d.

§ 3. Density theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $\Omega \in E_N$ be a bounded set, $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}^{(0,1)}$. Let $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ be a relatively open set with a Lipschitzian relative boundary; let $\Gamma_m \subset \partial\Omega$ be a sequence of sets

with the following property: for any neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of Γ there exists m_0 such that for $m > m_0$ $\overline{\Gamma}_m \subset \mathcal{U}$.

Let $\mu \in W_2^{(1)}(\Omega)$ be a function which equals zero on Γ (in the sense of traces). Then there exists a sequence $\mu_m \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

(i) $\mu_m = 0$ on the neighbourhood of Γ_m

and

(ii) $\mu_m \rightarrow \mu$ in the space $W_2^{(1)}(\Omega)$.

Proof: The domain Ω has a lipschitzian boundary and hence for any $x \in \partial\Omega$ there exists a cartesian system $(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (x', x_N)$ and a lipschitzian function α with the domain of definition $\Delta = (-\alpha, \alpha)^{N-1} \subset E_N$ such that:

(i) $U = \{(x', x_N) \mid x' \in \Delta, \alpha(x') - \beta < x_N < \alpha(x')\} \subset \Omega$

and

(ii) $V = \{(x', x_N) \mid x' \in \Delta, \alpha(x') < x_N < \alpha(x') + \beta\} \subset E_N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$

($\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ are suitable constants).

Let us denote $Z = U \cup V \cup \{(x', x_N) \mid x' \in \Delta, x_N = \alpha(x')\}$. Because of the compactness of $\partial\Omega$ we can cover $\partial\Omega$ by a finite number of such domains $Z_\kappa, \kappa = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We can find a domain $Z_0: \overline{Z}_0 \subset \Omega$ and $\overline{\Omega} \subset \bigcup_{\kappa=0}^m Z_\kappa$. Because of the compactness of $\overline{\Omega}$ we can construct a partition of unit to this covering, i.e. a system of functions $\varphi_\kappa \in \mathcal{D}(Z_\kappa)$ ($\kappa = 0, 1, \dots, m$), $0 \leq \varphi_\kappa \leq 1, \sum_{\kappa=0}^m \varphi_\kappa(x) = 1$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

We can now transform U_κ ($\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, m$) to the parallelepiped $Z = (-\alpha, \alpha)^{N-1} \times (-\beta, \beta)$ by means of the lipschitzian mapping

$$T_{\kappa}: \xi_i = x_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1), \quad \xi_N = x_N - a_{\kappa}(x')$$

This mapping transforms continuously $W_2^{(1)}(U_{\kappa})$ to $W_2^{(1)}(\Xi)$, see [1, p.66], and $\text{supp } \varphi_{\kappa}$ to a compact set $K_{\kappa} \subset \Xi_1 = T_{\kappa}(Z_{\kappa})$. Let $K_{\kappa,1} \subset \Xi_1$ be the compact set from Lemma 1, and let $G_{\kappa} \subset \Delta, G_{\kappa,m} \subset \Delta$ be the images of $T_{\kappa}(\Gamma), T_{\kappa}(\Gamma_{\kappa})$, respectively, in the projection along x_N . Obviously we can find domains $G'_{\kappa}, G'_{\kappa,m}: \overline{G'_{\kappa}} \subset \Delta, \overline{G'_{\kappa,m}} \subset \Delta, G'_{\kappa} \cap K_1 = G_{\kappa} \cap K_1, G'_{\kappa,m} \cap K_1 = G_{\kappa,m} \cap K_1$

and $G'_{\kappa}, G'_{\kappa,m}$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1. Hence we can approach $T_{\kappa}(\varphi_{\kappa} \mu)$ by the sequence $v_{m,\kappa} \in W_2^{(1)}(\Xi)$, $\text{supp } v_{m,\kappa} \subset K_{1,\kappa}$. The functions $\tilde{u}_{m,\kappa} = T_{\kappa}^{-1}(v_{m,\kappa})$ belong to $W_2^{(1)}(C)$ ($C \subset E_N$ is an N -dimensional cube which contains $\bar{\Omega}$), $\tilde{u}_{m,\kappa} = 0$ in a neighbourhood of $\bar{\Gamma}_m$ and $\tilde{u}_{m,\kappa} = \mu \varphi_{\kappa}$ in $W_2^{(1)}(\Omega)$.

Applying the mollifier ω_{κ} we can replace $\tilde{u}_{m,\kappa}$ by $u_{m,\kappa} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ with the same properties. Finally, we approach $\mu \varphi_0$ by the sequence $u_{m,0} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and write $u_m = \sum_{\kappa=0}^m u_{m,\kappa}$, which proves the theorem.

Remark. Higher smoothness of $\partial \Omega$ guarantees higher smoothness of the mappings T_{κ} and hence gives analogous results for the space $W_2^{(k)}(\Omega)$, $k > 1$. For example, the following theorem holds:

Theorem 2. Let $\Omega \subset E_N$ be a bounded domain with an infinitely differentiable boundary; let $\Gamma \subset \partial \Omega$ be a relatively open set with an infinitely differentiable relative boundary and let $\Gamma_m \subset \partial \Omega$ be a sequence of sets such that

the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled.

Let $\mu \in W_2^{(k)}(\Omega)$ ($k \geq 2$) be a function which equals zero on Γ (in the sense of traces) and

$$\frac{\partial^i \mu}{\partial \nu^i} = 0 \quad (\text{the normal derivative}) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1.$$

Then there exists a sequence $\mu_m \in C^{(\infty)}(\bar{\Omega})$, $\mu_m = 0$ on a neighbourhood of Γ_m and μ_m tends to μ in the space $W_2^{(k)}(\Omega)$.

Proof is completely analogous to that of Theorem 1.

§ 4. Relations to the convergence of spaces.

Certain assumptions are introduced in [1] (see p. 169 and following) under which the weak solution of the linear boundary value problem $A\mu = f$, $\mu - \mu_0 \in V_m \subset W_2^{(k)}(\Omega)$ depends continuously on V_m . One of these conditions is that $V_m \rightarrow V$ in the following sense:

$$(i) \quad \forall \mu \in V \exists \mu_m \in V_m : \mu_m \rightarrow \mu$$

and

$$(ii) \quad V = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{i=m}^{\infty} V_i}$$

(by [M] we denote the minimal linear space which contains M).

Let $k = 1$, $V_m = \{ \mu \in W_2^{(1)}(\Omega) \mid \mu = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_m \}$,

$$V = \{ \mu \in W_2^{(1)}(\Omega) \mid \mu = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \}$$

($\Gamma_m, \Gamma \subset \partial \Omega$ are relatively open sets). Theorem 1 gives conditions on Γ_m, Γ under which (i) holds. Condi-

tion (ii) is satisfied if in addition $\Gamma = \lim \Gamma_m$, i.e.

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=i}^{\infty} \Gamma_m = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_m .$$

The proof is the same as in [1, p.173] (see example 6.4).

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] NEČAS Jindřich: Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques, Praha-Paris 1967.
- [2] NEČAS Jindřich: Sur les domaines du type \mathcal{H} . Czech. Mat.Journ. 12(1962),274-287.
- [3] BESOV O.V., IL'JIN V.P., KUDRJAVCEV L.D., LIZORKIN G.I., NIKOLSKIJ S.M.: Teoriya vloženijs klassov differenciruemych funkcij mnogich peremennyh, Differencial'nye uravnenija s častnymi proizvodnymi (Trudy simpoziuma, posvjaščennogo 60-letiju akademika S.L. Soboleva), Moskva 1967, 38-70.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 9.8.1973)