

Jozef Nagy

Lyapunov's direct method in abstract local semi-flows

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 8 (1967), No. 2, 257--266

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105109>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1967

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

LYAPUNOV'S DIRECT METHOD IN ABSTRACT LOCAL SEMI-FLOWS

Josef NAGY, Praha

In [1] a very interesting idea of stability and boundedness analysis in differential equations theory is described. In this paper this idea will be used in a little more abstract form to study several properties of abstract local semi-flows in an abstract set.

(The notion of an abstract local semi-flow was introduced by O. Hájek in his seminar at the Mathematical Institute of the Caroline University at 1965, see also [2].)

First several notions and notations will be introduced.

1. Notation Throughout the paper, P will denote an arbitrary abstract set, R the one-dimensional Euclidean space, R^+ its subspace $\langle 0, +\infty \rangle$. In what follows, a map $g: P \times R \rightarrow R^+$ will be given. This map, about which we suppose only to be defined on the whole set $P \times R$, will play a very important role. (From the context it will be clear that nontrivial results may be obtained only in the case of g such that the set $\{(x, \theta) \in P \times R: g(x, \theta) = 0\}$ is nonvoid. The following two cases are of special interest: there are given a metric ρ on $P \times R$, a nonvoid set $K \subset P \times R$, and a map g_1 such that $g_1(x, \theta) = \inf\{\rho(x, \theta), (y, \xi)\}: (y, \xi) \in K\}$, or, if $K_\theta = \{x \in P: (x, \theta) \in K\}$ is nonvoid for all $\theta \in R$, g_2 is such

that $g_2(x, \theta) = \inf \{ \rho((x, \theta), (y, \theta)) : (y, \theta) \in K \}$. Clearly, the functions g_1 and g_2 are, in some sense, distances between a point and a given subset in $P \times R$. The set K and the function g_2 are very similar to the set M and the distance d in the Yoshizawa's study of the M -stability and M -boundedness [3].)

In this paper we shall occupy ourselves with partial maps $t: R \times P \times R \rightarrow P$, and we shall use the following notation: domain t will denote the set $\{(\theta, x, \alpha) \in R \times P \times R : t(\theta, x, \alpha)$ is defined $\}$. The value of the map t at a point $(\beta, x, \alpha) \in$ domain t will be denoted by ${}_{\beta}t_{\alpha}x$. To every pair $\beta, \alpha \in R, \beta \geq \alpha$, there is assigned a partial map

$${}_{\beta}t_{\alpha}: P \rightarrow P: {}_{\beta}t_{\alpha}(x) = {}_{\beta}t_{\alpha}x.$$

If α, β, γ are reals, $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$, then ${}_{\gamma}t_{\beta} \circ {}_{\beta}t_{\alpha}$ denotes the composition of the maps ${}_{\gamma}t_{\beta}$ and ${}_{\beta}t_{\alpha}$. Finally, let D denote the set $\{(x, \alpha) \in P \times R : (\alpha, x, \alpha) \in \text{domain } t\}$, and define a map

$$\epsilon: D \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}: \epsilon(x, \alpha) = \sup \{\theta \in R : (\theta, x, \alpha) \in \text{domain } t\}.$$

The notation just introduced will be used in the formulation of the following definition.

2. Definition A partial map $t: R \times P \times R \rightarrow P$ will be called an abstract local semi-flow on P iff it has the following properties:

- (i) ${}_{\alpha}t_{\alpha}x = x$ holds for each $(x, \alpha) \in D$;
- (ii) $\epsilon(x, \alpha) > \alpha$ holds for each $(x, \alpha) \in D$;
- (iii) ${}_{\gamma}t_{\beta} \circ {}_{\beta}t_{\alpha} = {}_{\gamma}t_{\alpha}$ holds whenever $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ and at least one side of this equality is defined.

An abstract local semi-flow will be called global iff
 $\varepsilon(x, \alpha) = +\infty$ holds for each $(x, \alpha) \in D$.

3. Remark From 2(iii) there follows directly the following simple proposition: if $(\gamma, x, \alpha) \in \text{domain } t$ and $\gamma > \alpha$, then $(\theta, x, \alpha) \in \text{domain } t$ for each $\theta \in \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle$. Hence and from 2(ii) we then obtain the following assertion: corresponding to each $(x, \alpha) \in D$, there exists $\beta > \alpha$ such that $(\theta, x, \alpha) \in \text{domain } t$ holds for each $\theta \in \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$.

4. Definition A partial map $s: R \rightarrow P$ will be called a solution of an abstract local semi-flow t iff the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) domain s is a nondegenerate interval in R ;

(ii) $s(\beta) = {}_{\beta}t_{\alpha} s(\alpha)$ holds for each pair $\alpha, \beta \in \text{domain } s$, $\alpha \leq \beta$.

5. Conditions Let there be given an abstract global semi-flow t° on R^+ , maps $V: P \times R \rightarrow R^+$, $(\mu: R \rightarrow \langle \sigma, +\infty \rangle)$, $0 < \sigma \in R$, $\gamma: \langle \tau, +\infty \rangle \rightarrow R$, $0 < \tau \in R$, $\mu: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$, $v: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$, such that $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \mu(\theta) = +\infty$, $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \inf v(\theta) = 0$, μ, v strictly increasing.

Finally, t will denote an abstract local semi-flow on P .

We shall formulate the following two conditions.

(i) $\mu(\theta) \cdot V({}_{\theta}t_{\alpha}^{\circ} x, \theta) \leq {}_{\theta}t_{\alpha}^{\circ} \kappa$ for each $\kappa \in R^+$ and $(x, \alpha) \in D$ such that $\mu(\alpha) \cdot V(x, \alpha) \leq \kappa$, and each $\theta \in \langle \alpha, \varepsilon(x, \alpha) \rangle$; $\mu(q(x, \theta)) \leq V(x, \theta) \leq \gamma(\theta) \cdot v(q(x, \theta))$

holds for each $(x, \theta) \in D$.

(ii) $V({}_{\theta}t_{\alpha}^{\circ} x, \theta) \leq {}_{\theta}t_{\alpha}^{\circ} \kappa$ holds for each $\kappa \in R^+$ and $(x, \alpha) \in D$ such that $V(x, \alpha) \leq \kappa$, and each $\theta \in \langle \alpha, \varepsilon(x, \alpha) \rangle$; $\mu(q(x, \theta)) \leq V(x, \theta) \leq v(q(x, \theta))$

holds for each $(x, \theta) \in D$.

6. Properties We shall say that the abstract local semi-flow t has one of properties 6(i) - 6(iv) iff the correspondingly numbered condition of following is satisfied:

(i) there is a positive function $\omega(\alpha, \xi)$ ($\alpha \in R, \xi > 0$) such that $g(\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) < \xi$ holds whenever $(x, \alpha) \in D$, $g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega(\alpha, \xi)$, $\theta \in \langle \alpha, \varepsilon(x, \alpha) \rangle$;

(ii) the function $\omega(\alpha, \xi)$ in (i) does not depend on α ;

(iii) there is a positive function $\beta(\alpha, \omega)$ ($\alpha \in R, \omega > 0$) such that $g(\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) < \beta(\alpha, \omega)$ holds whenever $(x, \alpha) \in D$, $g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega$ and $\theta \in \langle \alpha, \varepsilon(x, \alpha) \rangle$;

(iv) the function $\beta(\alpha, \omega)$ in (iii) does not depend on α .

Similarly, we shall say that an abstract global semi-flow t has one of properties 6(v) - 6(viii) iff the correspondingly numbered condition of following is satisfied:

(v) there is a positive function $\theta(\alpha, \eta, \omega)$ ($\alpha \in R, \eta > 0, \omega > 0$) such that $g(\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) < \eta$ holds whenever

$(x, \alpha) \in D$, $g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega$, $\theta \geq \alpha + \theta(\alpha, \eta, \omega)$;

(vi) the function $\theta(\alpha, \eta, \omega)$ in (v) does not depend on α ;

(vii) there are positive functions $\theta(\alpha, \omega)$ and $\sigma(\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in R, \omega > 0$) such that $g(\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) < \sigma(\alpha)$ holds whenever $(x, \alpha) \in D$, $g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega$, $\theta \geq \alpha + \theta(\alpha, \omega)$;

(viii) the functions $\theta(\alpha, \omega)$ and $\sigma(\alpha)$ in (vii) do not depend on α .

In the same way, for the abstract global semi-flow t° on

R^+ and for a special choice of a map $g: R^+ \times R \rightarrow R^+$: $g(\kappa, \theta) = \kappa$, we shall say that t° has property $6(i)^\circ$ iff

- (1) $^\circ$ there is a positive function $\omega(\alpha, \xi)$ such that $\theta t_\alpha \kappa < \xi$ for each $\kappa \leq \omega(\alpha, \xi)$ and $\theta \geq \alpha$; and, analogously, for properties $6(ii)^\circ - 6(viii)^\circ$.

7. Note It is easily to see that properties (i), (iii), (v) and (vii) correspond to those of stability, equi-M-boundedness, quasi-equi-asymptotic stability and equi-ultimate-M-boundedness, as they are defined e.g. in [3], and the remaining properties are their corresponding θ -uniform modifications.

8. Theorem Let t be an abstract local semi-flow on P , t° an abstract global semi-flow on R^+ .

(i) Let condition 5(i) be satisfied. If t° has property $6(i)^\circ$, then t has property 6(i).

(ii) Let condition 5(ii) be satisfied. If t° has property $6(i)^\circ$ or $6(ii)^\circ$, then t has the corresponding property 6(i) or 6(ii).

(iii) Let condition 5(i) be satisfied. If t° has property $6(iii)^\circ$, then t has property 6(iii).

(iv) Let condition 5(ii) be satisfied. If t° has property $6(iii)^\circ$ or $6(iv)^\circ$, then t has the corresponding property 6(iii) or 6(iv).

Proof. Ad (i): According to the assumption, there is a positive function $\omega^\circ(\alpha, \xi^\circ)$ such that $\theta t_\alpha^\circ \omega^\circ(\alpha, \xi^\circ) < \xi^\circ$ for each $\theta \geq \alpha$; and for each $(x, \alpha) \in D$ such that $(\mu(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha), \nu(g(x, \alpha))) \leq \omega^\circ(\alpha, \xi^\circ)$ there holds $(\mu(\alpha), \forall(x, \alpha)) \leq \omega^\circ(\alpha, \xi^\circ)$. Hence there follows

$$\mu(\theta) \cdot V({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) \leq {}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ \omega^\circ(\alpha, \xi^\circ) < \xi^\circ,$$

so that

$$(1) \sigma \cdot \mu(g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta)) \leq \mu(\theta) \cdot \mu(g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta)) \leq \mu(\theta) \cdot V({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) \leq {}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ \omega^\circ(\alpha, \xi^\circ) < \xi^\circ$$

holds whenever

$$(2) (x, \alpha) \in D, \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot v(g(x, \alpha)) \leq \omega^\circ(\alpha, \xi^\circ), \\ \theta \in \langle \alpha, \varepsilon(x, \alpha) \rangle.$$

Now, given any $\xi > 0$ and $\alpha \in R$, choose ξ° in (1) and (2) so that $\xi^\circ = \sigma \cdot \mu(\xi)$ and define $\omega(\alpha, \xi)$ so that the relation $\omega^\circ(\alpha, \sigma \cdot \mu(\xi)) \geq \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot v(g(x, \alpha)) > 0$ is fulfilled. Then, from (1) and (2) we obtain that

$$g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) \leq \xi \quad \text{holds whenever } (x, \alpha) \in D, \\ g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega(\alpha, \xi), \quad \theta \in \langle \alpha, \varepsilon(x, \alpha) \rangle,$$

i.e. t has property 6(i).

Ad (ii): The first part of this assertion follows directly from the preceding assertion (i). If t° has property 6(ii) $^\circ$, then the function $\omega^\circ(\alpha, \xi^\circ)$ in the proof of 6(i) does not depend on α , so that it is also possible to define $\omega(\alpha, \xi)$ independently of α .

Hence t has property 6(ii).

Ad (iii): According to the assumption, there is a positive function $\beta^\circ(\alpha, \omega^\circ), \alpha \in R, \omega^\circ > 0$ such that

${}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ \omega^\circ < \beta^\circ(\alpha, \omega^\circ)$ holds for each $\theta \geq \alpha$. Let

$\mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot v(g(x, \alpha)) \leq \omega^\circ$. Then there holds

$$\mu(\alpha) \cdot V(x, \alpha) \leq \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot v(g(x, \alpha)) \leq \omega^\circ,$$

hence the relation

$$(3) \sigma \cdot \mu(g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta)) \leq \mu(\theta) \cdot V({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) \leq {}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ \omega^\circ < \beta^\circ(\alpha, \omega^\circ)$$

follows whenever

$$(4) \quad (x, \alpha) \in D, \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot \nu(g(x, \alpha)) \leq \omega^0, \\ \theta \in \langle \alpha, \varepsilon(x, \alpha) \rangle.$$

Now, given any $\alpha \in R, \omega > 0$, choose ω^0 in (3) and (4) so that $\omega^0 = \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot \nu(\omega) > 0$ and define $\beta(\alpha, \omega)$ so that $\beta^0(\alpha, \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot \nu(\omega)) \leq \delta \cdot \mu(\beta(\alpha, \omega))$ is satisfied.

Then from (3) and (4) we obtain that

$$g(\theta t_x x, \theta) \in \beta(\alpha, \omega) \text{ holds whenever } (x, \alpha) \in D, \\ g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega, \theta \in \langle \alpha, \varepsilon(x, \alpha) \rangle,$$

i.e. t has property 6(iii).

Ad (iv): The first part of the assertion follows directly from 8(iii). Since the function $\beta^0(\alpha, \omega^0)$ in the proof of 8(iii) can be chosen independently on α , the second part of the assertion follows easily.

This completes the proof of theorem 8.

9. Theorem Let t be an abstract global semi-flow on P , t^0 an abstract global semi-flow on R^+ .

(i) Let condition 5(i) be satisfied. If t^0 has property 6(v)⁰, then t has property 6(v).

(ii) Let condition 5(ii) be satisfied. If t^0 has property 6(v)⁰ or 6(vi)⁰, then t has the corresponding property 6(v) or 6(vi).

(iii) Let condition 5(i) be satisfied. If t^0 has property 6(vii)⁰, then t has property 6(vii).

(iv) Let condition 5(ii) be satisfied. If t^0 has property 6(vii)⁰ or 6(viii)⁰, then t has the corresponding property 6(vii) or 6(viii).

(v) Let condition 5(i) be satisfied and let $\mu(\theta) \rightarrow +\infty$

for $\theta \rightarrow +\infty$. If t° has property 6(i) $^\circ$ or 6(iii) $^\circ$, then t has property 6(v).

Proof. Ad (i): According to the assumption, there is a function $\theta^\circ(\alpha, \eta^\circ, \omega^\circ)$ such that ${}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ \omega^\circ < \eta^\circ$ for each $\theta \geq \alpha + \theta^\circ(\alpha, \eta^\circ, \omega^\circ)$, hence

(5) $\sigma \cdot \mu(g({}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ x, \theta)) \leq \mu(\theta) \cdot V({}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ x, \theta) \leq {}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ \omega^\circ < \eta^\circ$
holds whenever

$$(6) \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot v(g(x, \alpha)) \leq \omega^\circ, (x, \alpha) \in D, \\ \theta \geq \alpha + \theta^\circ(\alpha, \eta^\circ, \omega^\circ).$$

Let there be given $\alpha \in R, \eta > 0, \omega > 0$. Choose ω° and η° in (5) and (6) so that $\eta^\circ = \sigma \cdot \mu(\eta), \omega^\circ = \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot v(\omega)$ and define $\theta(\alpha, \eta, \omega) = \theta^\circ(\alpha, \sigma \cdot \mu(\eta), \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot v(\omega))$.

Then from (5) and (6) there follows

$$g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) < \eta \text{ whenever } (x, \alpha) \in D, g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega(\alpha, \xi), \\ \theta \geq \alpha + \theta(\alpha, \eta, \omega),$$

i.e. t has property 6(v).

Ad (ii): The first part of the assertion follows directly from 9(i). To prove the second part, it suffices to observe that from 6(vi) $^\circ$ it follows that $\theta^\circ(\alpha, \eta^\circ, \omega^\circ)$ in the proof of 6(i) does not depend on α ; hence the existence of the function θ with the required properties follows easily.

Ad (iii): According to the assumption there are functions $\theta^\circ(\alpha, \omega^\circ)$ and $\sigma^\circ(\alpha)$ such that ${}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ \omega^\circ < \sigma^\circ(\alpha)$ for each $\theta \geq \alpha + \theta^\circ(\alpha, \omega^\circ)$. Hence it follows that

(7) $\sigma \cdot \mu(g({}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ x, \theta)) \leq \mu(\theta) \cdot V({}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ x, \theta) \leq {}_\theta t_\alpha^\circ \omega^\circ < \sigma^\circ(\alpha)$
holds whenever

$$(8) (\alpha, \alpha) \in D, \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot v(g(x, \alpha)) \leq \omega^\circ, \theta \geq \alpha + \theta^\circ(\alpha, \omega^\circ).$$

Let there be given $\alpha \in R, \omega > 0$. Take ω° in (7) and (8) so that $\omega^\circ = \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot v(\omega)$ and define the functions

$\theta(\alpha, \omega)$ and $\sigma(\alpha)$ so that the relations $\theta(\alpha, \omega) = \theta^\circ(\alpha, \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot \nu(\omega))$ and $\sigma(\alpha) \geq \sigma \cdot \mu(\sigma(\alpha))$ are satisfied. Hence and from (7) and (8) it follows that

$$g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) \leq \sigma(\alpha) \text{ holds whenever } (x, \alpha) \in \mathcal{D}, \\ g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega, \theta \geq \alpha + \theta(\alpha, \omega),$$

i.e. t has property 6(vii).

Ad (iv): The proof follows easily from that of 9(iii).

Ad (v): First suppose that t° has property 6(i)^o. Let there be given $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$, $\eta > 0$ and $\omega > 0$. Let ξ° in (1) and (2) be such that $0 < \omega^\circ(\alpha, \xi^\circ) \leq \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot \nu(\omega)$.

Then

$$\mu(g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta)) \leq \frac{\xi^\circ}{\mu(\theta)},$$

whenever $(x, \alpha) \in \mathcal{D}$, $g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega$, $\theta \geq \alpha$. According to the assumption $\frac{\xi^\circ}{\mu(\theta)} \rightarrow 0$ for $\theta \rightarrow +\infty$, hence there exists

$$\theta^\circ(\xi^\circ, \eta) \text{ such that } g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) < \eta \text{ for } \theta \geq \theta^\circ(\xi^\circ, \eta).$$

On defining $\theta(\alpha, \eta, \omega) = \theta^\circ(\xi^\circ, \eta) - \alpha$ (ξ° depends on α and ω), we obtain that

$$g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta) < \eta \text{ holds whenever } (x, \alpha) \in \mathcal{D}, g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega, \\ \theta \geq \alpha + \theta(\alpha, \eta, \omega),$$

i.e. t has property 6(v).

Now let t° have property 6(iii)^o and let there be given $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$, $\eta > 0$, and $\omega > 0$. Choose ω° in (3) and (4) so that $\omega^\circ = \mu(\alpha) \cdot \gamma(\alpha) \cdot \nu(\omega)$. Then we have

$$\mu(g({}_\theta t_\alpha x, \theta)) \leq \frac{\beta^\circ(\alpha, \omega^\circ)}{\mu(\theta)}, \text{ whenever } (x, \alpha) \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega, \theta \geq \alpha.$$

According to the assumption, $\frac{\beta^\circ(\alpha, \omega^\circ)}{\mu(\theta)} \rightarrow 0$ for $\theta \rightarrow +\infty$,

and hence there exists $\theta'(\alpha, \omega^\circ, \eta)$ such that

$\frac{\beta^0(\alpha, \omega^0)}{\mu(\theta)} < \mu(\eta)$ for $\theta \geq \theta'(\alpha, \omega^0, \eta)$. Setting

$\theta(\alpha, \eta, \omega) = \theta'(\alpha, \omega^0, \eta) - \alpha$, we obtain that

$$g_{\theta}(\alpha, x, \theta) \leq \eta \quad \text{holds whenever } (x, \alpha) \in D, g(x, \alpha) \leq \omega, \\ \theta \geq \alpha + \theta(\alpha, \eta, \omega),$$

i.e. t has property 6(v); this completes the proof of theorem 8.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] Nam P. BHATIA, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM: An extension of Lyapunov's direct method, Michigan Math.J. 12 (1965), 183-191.
- [2] O. HÁJEK: Flows and periodic motions, CMUC 6,2(1965), 165-178.
- [3] Taro YOSHIZAWA: Stability of sets and perturbed system, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj 5(1962), 31-69.

(Received December 31, 1966)