

Alexander Haščák

A strong convergence in L^p and upper q -continuous operators

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 38 (1988), No. 3, 420–424

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102237>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A STRONG CONVERGENCE IN L^p AND UPPER
 q -CONTINUOUS OPERATORS

ALEXANDER HAŠČÁK, Bratislava

(Received February 26, 1986)

In [1] S. Banach and S. Saks proved a theorem which can be formulated as follows (see also Banach-Saks' Theorem in [5]):

Theorem 1. Let $w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x_\infty$ (i.e., $\{x_n\}$ weakly converges to x_∞) in a space L^p ($p \in (1, +\infty)$). Then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{k} (x_{n_1} + x_{n_2} + \dots + x_{n_k})$$

converges to x_∞ in the norm of L^p .

In the same paper S. Banach and S. Saks gave an example which shows that Theorem 1 cannot be extended to L^1 .

The aim of this note is to present a sufficient condition under which the hypothesis of Banach-Saks' Theorem is fulfilled in the case $p = 1$. This is used to generalize Theorem 7 of [2] which is useful for example in the theory of differential inclusions.

Now we shall introduce the notation which will be needed in the note.

Let E^n be the space of Euclidean n -vectors. Let us denote by $cf(E^n)$ the set of all nonempty closed convex subsets of E^n . If $A \subset E^n$ then $|A| = \sup \{|a|; a \in A\}$. $C^n(I)$ denotes the space of all continuous functions mapping the interval $I \subset E$ into E^n . By $B(I)$ we shall denote the Banach space of all continuous and bounded real functions on I with the maximum norm, and $2^{B(I)}$ will stand for the family of all nonempty subsets of $B(I)$.

Definition 1. The sequence $f_k \in B(I)$ quasi-converges (q -converges) to $f \in B(I)$ iff $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f_k(t) = f(t)$ for every $t \in I$. This will be denoted by $f_k \rightarrow^q f$.

Definition 2. The operator $T: B(I) \rightarrow 2^{B(I)}$ is upper q -continuous iff the assumptions

$$f_k \rightarrow^q f, \quad f_k, f \in B(I), \quad y_k \in T(f_k)$$

imply that there exists a subsequence of the sequence $\{y_k\}$ convergent to some $y \in T(f)$ (in the norm).

Corollary 1. If T is an upper q -continuous operator, then T is upper semicompact.

Definition 3. Let X and Y be normed linear spaces. A mapping $F: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is weakly upper q -continuous at a point $x \in X$ iff the assumptions

$$x_k, x \in X, \quad x_k \rightarrow^q x, \quad y_k \in F(x_k)$$

imply that there is a subsequence of the sequence $\{y_k\}$ which weakly converges to some $y \in F(x)$.

Now we shall formulate and prove a theorem which is an extension of Banach-Saks' Theorem in some subset of L^1 .

Theorem 2. Let $w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x_\infty$ in $L^1(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$, and let there exist a function $g \in L^1(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$ such that

$$|x_n(t)| \leq g(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } \langle a, +\infty \rangle, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{k} (x_{n_1} + x_{n_2} + \dots + x_{n_k})$$

converges to x_∞ in the norm of $L^1(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$.

Proof. Let us define the sequence $\{y_n\}$, $y_n \in L^2(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$ by

$$y_n(t) = \frac{x_n(t)}{\sqrt{1+g(t)}}, \quad t \in \langle a, +\infty \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since

$$|y_n|_2^2 = \int_a^{+\infty} \frac{x_n^2(t)}{1+g(t)} dt \leq \int_a^{+\infty} \frac{g(t)}{1+g(t)} g(t) dt \leq c = \int_a^{+\infty} g(t) dt,$$

there is a subsequence $\{y_{1n}\}$ of the sequence $\{y_n\}$ which weakly converges to some $y_0 \in L^2(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$. We shall show that

$$y_0(t) = \frac{x_\infty(t)}{\sqrt{1+g(t)}}.$$

Since the set $L_b^2(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$ of bounded functions of $L^2(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$ is strongly dense in $L^2(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$, it suffices to show that

$$(1) \quad \int_a^{+\infty} y_{1n}(t) z(t) dt \rightarrow \int_a^{+\infty} \frac{x_\infty(t)}{\sqrt{1+g(t)}} z(t) dt \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

for each $z \in L_b^2(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$.

We have that $\{x_n\}$ weakly converges to x_∞ , $z(t)$ is bounded, i.e., $z(t)/\sqrt{1+g(t)}$ is bounded, thus

$$\int_a^{+\infty} x_{1n}(t) \frac{z(t)}{\sqrt{1+g(t)}} dt \rightarrow \int_a^{+\infty} x_{0(t)} \frac{z(t)}{\sqrt{1+g(t)}} dt, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

i.e., (1) holds.

Further, by Banach-Saks' Theorem there is a subsequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ of the sequence $\{y_{1n}\}$ such that

$$w_k = \frac{1}{k}(y_{21} + y_{22} + \dots + y_{2k}) \rightarrow y_0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

in the norm of L^2 .

Now, by Riesz' Theorem, there is a subsequence $\{w_{1k}\}$ of the sequence $\{w_k\}$ such that

$$w_{1k}(t) \rightarrow y_0(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } \langle a, +\infty \rangle \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{x_{2\sigma_1}(t)}{\sqrt{(1+g(t))}} + \frac{x_{2\sigma_2}(t)}{\sqrt{(1+g(t))}} + \dots + \frac{x_{2\sigma_k}(t)}{\sqrt{(1+g(t))}} \right) \rightarrow \\ & \rightarrow \frac{x_0(t)}{\sqrt{(1+g(t))}} \quad \text{a.e. on } \langle a, +\infty \rangle \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{k}(x_{2\sigma_1}(t) + x_{2\sigma_2}(t) + \dots + x_{2\sigma_k}(t)) \rightarrow x_0(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } \langle a, +\infty \rangle \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

i.e.,

$$\left| \frac{1}{k}(x_{2\sigma_1}(t) + x_{2\sigma_2}(t) + \dots + x_{2\sigma_k}(t)) - x_0(t) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \langle a, +\infty \rangle \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By virtue of

$$\left| \frac{1}{k}(x_{2\sigma_1}(t) + x_{2\sigma_2}(t) + \dots + x_{2\sigma_k}(t)) - x_0(t) \right| \leq 2g(t) \in L^1(\langle a, +\infty \rangle)$$

and the Lebesgue Dominated Theorem, this yields

$$\int_a^{+\infty} \left| \frac{x_{2\sigma_1}(t) + \dots + x_{2\sigma_k}(t)}{k} - x_0(t) \right| dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

The following lemma will be needed in the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 1 (Lemma 4, A. Haščák [3]). *Let $J = \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$ and let the mapping $F: J \times E^n \rightarrow \text{cf}(E^n)$ satisfy the following conditions:*

(c₀) $F(t, x)$ is a non-empty, compact and convex subset of E^n for each $(t, x) \in J \times E^n$,

(c₁) for every fixed $t \in J$ the function $F(t, x)$ is upper semicontinuous,

(c₂) for each measurable function $x: J \rightarrow E^n$, there exists a measurable function $f_x: J \rightarrow E^n$ such that

$$f_x(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. on } J.$$

Further, suppose that there exists $g: J \times J \rightarrow J$ such that

i) $g(t, u)$ is monotone nondecreasing in u for each fixed $t \in J$,

ii) $\int_0^{+\infty} g^{p'}(s, c) ds < +\infty$ for any constant $c > 0$ and $p' \geq 1$,

iii) for each $x \in E^n$,

$$|F(t, x)| \leq g(t, |x|) \quad \text{a.e. on } J.$$

Given a function $x \in C^n(J)$, denote by $M(x)$ the set of all measurable functions $y: J \rightarrow E^n$ such that

$$y(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. on } J.$$

Then the correspondence $x \rightarrow M(x)$ defines a bounded weakly upper q -continuous mapping of

$$B_q^n(J) = \{x \in C^n(J) : |x(t)| \leq \varrho\}, \quad \varrho > 0$$

into

$$\text{cf}(L_n^{p'}(J)), \quad L_n^{p'} = L^{p'} \times \dots \times L^{p'}.$$

Theorem 3. Let the hypotheses of Lemma 1 be satisfied and let D be a Banach space. Suppose that $T: L_n^{p'}(J) \rightarrow D$ is a compact linear operator.

Then the operator TM defined by

$$TMx = \{z \in D: z = Ty \text{ and } y \in Mx\}$$

maps B_q^n into $\text{cf}(D)$ and is upper q -continuous.

Proof. For $p' > 1$, Theorem 3 is proved in [2]. Thus we have to prove this theorem only for $p' = 1$. The proof in this case proceeds analogously as in the case $p' > 1$, but instead of Banach-Saks' Theorem we use Theorem 2.

First we shall prove that the operator TM is upper q -continuous. Let $x_n \rightarrow^q x$, $x_n, x \in B_q^n$ and $z_n \in TMx_n$. We have to show that there is a subsequence of the sequence $\{z_n\}$ that converges (in the norm of D) to some $z \in TMx$. Let $z_i = Ty_i$, $y_i \in Mx_i$. Since M is weakly upper q -continuous, there is a subsequence $\{y_{1i}\}$ of the sequence $\{y_i\}$ which weakly converges to some $y \in Mx$. Since $\{y_{1i}\}$ is bounded and T is a compact linear operator there is a subsequence $\{y_{2i}\}$ of the sequence $\{y_{1i}\}$ such that $Ty_{2i} \rightarrow z \in D$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$. We shall show that $z = Ty \in TMx$. Because $\{y_{1i}\}$ weakly converges to y we have that also $\{y_{2i}\}$ weakly converges to y . By Theorem 2 there is a subsequence $\{y_{3i}\}$ of the sequence $\{y_{2i}\}$ such that

$$\frac{y_{31} + y_{32} + \dots + y_{3i}}{i} \rightarrow y$$

as $i \rightarrow +\infty$, in the norm of $L_n^{p'}(J)$.

Since T is compact and linear (hence T is continuous),

$$(2) \quad T\left(\frac{y_{31} + y_{32} + \dots + y_{3i}}{i}\right) \rightarrow Ty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow +\infty.$$

On the other hand, since $Ty_{3i} \rightarrow z \in D$ and T is linear we have

$$(3) \quad z = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} Ty_{3i} = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{Ty_{31} + Ty_{32} + \dots + Ty_{3i}}{i} =$$

$$= \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} T \left(\frac{y_{31} + y_{32} + \dots + y_{3i}}{i} \right).$$

By (2) and (3) we get that $z = Ty \in TMx$. Thus the operator is upper q -continuous.

From the upper q -continuity of the operator TMx we conclude that TMx is closed. Further, Mx is a convex set and T is a linear operator. Thus TMx is also a convex set.

Remark 1. In Theorem 3, T is a compact linear operator. M. Švec has constructed an example which shows that Theorem 3 is not valid if T is merely a linear operator.

Remark 2. In [4] S. Mazur has proved a theorem which deals with the strong convergence in normed linear spaces (see also [6], Theorem V.1.2). Banach-Saks' Theorem as well as Theorem 2 of this note are stronger variants of Mazur's Theorem.

References

- [1] S. Banach, S. Saks: Sur la convergence forte dans les champs L^p . *Studia Math.*, 2 (1930), 51—57.
- [2] A. Haščák: Fixed Point Theorems for Multivalued Mappings. *Czech. Math. J.*, 35 (110) 1985, 533—542.
- [3] A. Haščák: Integral Equivalence of Multivalued Differential Systems II. *Colloquia Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* 47, *Differential Equations: Qualitative Theory*, Szeged (Hungary), 1984.
- [4] S. Mazur: Über konvexe Mengen in linear normierten Räumen. *Studia Math.*, 5 (1933), 70—84.
- [5] F. Riesz, B. Sz.-Nagy: *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*, Budapest 1972.
- [6] K. Yosida: *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1966.

Author's address: 842 15 Bratislava, Mlynská dolina, Czechoslovakia (Katedra matematickej analýzy Matematicko-fyzikálnej fakulty UK).