

Jarolím Bureš

Deformation and equivalence G -structures. Part I. $\{e\}$ -structures

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 22 (1972), No. 4, 641–652

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101131>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

DEFORMATION AND EQUIVALENCE G -STRUCTURES.
PART I. $\{e\}$ -STRUCTURES

JAROLÍM BUREŠ, Praha

(Received November 11, 1971)

This paper is a partial solution of the problem which was suggested to me by Professor ŠVEC. It is a contribution to the difficult and important problem of the equivalence of G -structures which has been already treated by many mathematicians.

Many important results in special cases were obtained already by E. CARTAN; the latest ones are due to GUILLEMIN, STERNBERG, SINGER and others.

The contents of the paper is the following. In the first chapter some necessary concepts from the theory of G -structures and the notion of contact of high order of submanifolds are introduced. The second chapter begins with the definition of deformation of G -structures and with some of its basic properties.

In the next part, deformations of $\{e\}$ -structures and the relation between the deformation and the equivalence of $\{e\}$ -structures is dealt with.

The deformation problem for $\{e\}$ -structures is practically solved here but the study of general G -structures remains still open.

1. G -STRUCTURES

Throughout the paper differentiability means always differentiability of class C^∞ ; instead of differentiable manifolds we speak only manifolds, we use the usual summation convention and we take over much of the notation from the book by Sternberg [1].

We shall mention here only some definitions and propositions from the G -structures theory.

Let us denote by $F(M)$ the principal fibre bundle of all frames of a manifold M . The general linear group operates on $F(M)$ from the right. For $p \in F(M)$ and $a \in GI(n, \mathbf{R})^1$ we denote this operation by $p \cdot a$, $\pi : F(M) \rightarrow M$ denotes the projection.

¹⁾ $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$ is the general linear group.

Then $F_x(M) = \pi^{-1}(x)$, i.e. the fibre at x is a submanifold of $F(M)$ diffeomorphic with $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$. Any diffeomorphism $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ induces isomorphisms $f_{*x}: T_x M_1 \rightarrow T_{f(x)} M_2$ of tangent spaces of the manifolds, thus defining a diffeomorphism $\tilde{f}: F(M_1) \rightarrow F(M_2)$ of the principal fibre bundles of frames.

Definition 1. Let G be a Lie subgroup of $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$. G -structure $B \rightarrow M$ on M is a reduction of the principal fibre bundle $F(M)$ to the group G .

This is to say a G -structure $B \rightarrow M$ is a principal fibre bundle over M with a principal fibre bundle morphism $B \rightarrow F(M)$ which is an imbedding and induces the identity on M .

Proposition 1. Let G be a Lie subgroup of $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$. A submanifold $B \subset F(M)$ is a G -structure on M if and only if:

- (1) The projection $\pi: F(M) \rightarrow M$ maps B onto M .
- (2) If $p \in B$, $q \in F(M)$ such that $q = p \cdot a$ then $q \in B$ if and only if $a \in G$.
- (3) To any $x \in M$ there exists its neighborhood U and a cross-section $\sigma: U \rightarrow F(M)$ such that $\sigma(U) \subset B$.

If $B \rightarrow M_1$ is a G -structure and $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a diffeomorphism then the image $\tilde{f}(B)$ is a G -structure on M_2 .

Definition 2. Let $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ be two G -structures. If there exists a diffeomorphism $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ such that $\tilde{f}(B_1) = B_2$ then the G -structures are said to be equivalent with the equivalence f .

We say that G -structures $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ are locally equivalent at a point $(x, y) \in M_1 \times M_2$ if there exist neighborhoods U_1 of x and U_2 of y such that the G -structures $B_1|_{U_1}$ and $B_2|_{U_2}$ are equivalent with an equivalence f satisfying $f(x) = y$.

Remark. If $B \rightarrow M$ is a G -structure on M and $U \subset M$ an open subset, then $\pi^{-1}(U) \cap B$ is a G -structure on U which will be denoted by $B|_U$.

For any Lie subgroup $G \subset GI(n, \mathbf{R})$ we can define on \mathbf{R}^n the standard flat G -structure $B_G^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$. Using the standard chart (x^1, \dots, x^n) on \mathbf{R}^n we can define a global cross-section of $F(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by setting $\sigma(x) = (\partial/\partial x_1(x), \dots, \partial/\partial x_n(x))$. A subset $B_G^0 \subset F(\mathbf{R}^n)$ consists of all elements of the type $\sigma(x) \cdot a$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $a \in G$.

Definition 3. A G -structure $B \rightarrow M$ is said to be flat if it is equivalent with the standard flat G -structure on \mathbf{R}^n . It is called locally flat if it is locally equivalent with the standard flat G -structure at the point $(x, 0) \in M \times \mathbf{R}^n$ for any $x \in M$ ($0 = (0, \dots, 0)$ is the origin in \mathbf{R}^n).

G -structure $B \rightarrow M$ is locally flat if and only if to any $x \in M$ there exists a chart (x^1, \dots, x^n) around it such that the field of frames $(\partial/\partial x^1, \dots, \partial/\partial x^n)$ belongs to B .

Examples of G -structures. (1) If $G = \{e\}$ is the trivial subgroup of $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$ then an $\{e\}$ -structure is a full parallelism on M .

(2) If $G = O(n)$ is the orthogonal group, then $O(n)$ -structures are in 1 – 1 correspondence with Riemannian metrics on M .

(3) If $G = C O(n)$ is the conformal group, $C O(n)$ -structures are in 1 – 1 correspondence with conformal structures on M .

Further examples of G -structures are almost complex structures, symplectic structures etc.

From this brief survey we can see that the majority of classical geometric structures belong to G -structures.

If G is a closed subgroup of $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$ we can define G -structure also in another equivalent way. We know that the set of equivalent classes $GI(n, \mathbf{R})/G$ is a homogeneous space and $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$ operates from the left on it. We can define the fibre bundle with the standard fibre $GI(n, \mathbf{R})/G$ associated with $F(M)$ and by Proposition 5.5 from [4] to identify it with the quotient space $F(M)/G$. The proof of the following proposition can be found in [4] Prop. 5.6.

Proposition 2. *Let G be a closed subgroup of $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$. G -structures on M are in 1 – 1 correspondence with sections of the fibre bundle $F(M)/G$.*

Thus for a closed subgroup $G \subset GI(n, \mathbf{R})$ we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M) & \xrightarrow{\tau_G} & F(M)/G \\ \searrow \pi & & \swarrow \pi_G \\ & M & \end{array}$$

of fibre bundles and their morphisms.

By Proposition 2 we can associate with any G -structure a unique cross-section $\sigma : M \rightarrow F(M)/G$. This cross-section will be called the representation of the G -structure. Speaking about representations of G -structures we shall always suppose that G is a closed subgroup of $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$.

Further results in this direction can be found in [5]. If $B \rightarrow M_1$ is a G -structure on M_1 with the representation $\sigma : M_1 \rightarrow F(M_1)/G$ and $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a diffeomorphism then f induces a mapping \tilde{f} which is a diffeomorphism $F(M_1)/G$ with $F(M_2)/G$ and which maps the cross-section σ to the section $\tilde{f}(\sigma) : M_2 \rightarrow F(M_2)/G$. This cross-section is the representation of the G -structure $\tilde{f}(B)$ on M_2 .

Thus f is an equivalence of G -structures if and only if \tilde{f} maps a representation into a representation.

Definition 4. Let M be a manifold and let N_1 and N_2 be two m -dimensional submanifolds of M intersecting at the point P . We say that N_1 , and N_2 have the k -th

order contact at P if we can find a coordinate system for M , (y_1, \dots, y_n) , defined in a neighborhood of P , such that the equations of N_1 are given by

$$y_{m+1} = 0, \dots, y_n = 0$$

and the equations of N_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{m+1} &= f_{m+1}(y_1, \dots, y_m), \\ &\vdots \\ y_n &= f_n(y_1, \dots, y_m), \end{aligned}$$

where f_{m+1}, \dots, f_n have vanishing derivatives of all orders less than or equal to k at the point P .

2. DEFORMATION AND EQUIVALENCE OF G -STRUCTURES

1. General definitions

Definition 1. Let G be a Lie subgroup of $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$, M_1 and M_2 two manifolds. A diffeomorphism $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is said to be a *deformation of order k* at a point $u \in M$ of G -structures $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ if there exists a local diffeomorphism ψ of M_1 into M_1 with $\psi(u) = u$ and $p \in B_2$ with $\pi(p) = f(u)$ such that B_2 and $\tilde{f}\tilde{\psi}(B_1)$ have as submanifolds of $F(M_2)$ the contact of order k at the point p .

If f is a deformation of order k at every point of M_1 , we call it a *deformation of order k* . We say that $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ are *in deformation of order k at a point $(u, v) \in M_1 \times M_2$* if there exists a local diffeomorphism from M_1 to M_2 with $\psi(u) = v$ such that ψ is a deformation of order k at u .

The immediate of the definition is the following:

Lemma 1. Let $B_i \rightarrow M_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ be G -structures, $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g: M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ diffeomorphisms. Then

- (1) If f is an equivalence of G -structures $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$, and g a deformation of order k of G -structures $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ and $B_3 \rightarrow M_3$ at a point $u \in M_2$ then $g \circ f$ is a deformation of order k of the G -structures $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_3 \rightarrow M_3$ at the point $f^{-1}(u) \in M_1$.
- (2) If g is an equivalence of G -structures $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ and $B_3 \rightarrow M_3$ and f a deformation of order k at a point $u \in M_1$ of G -structures $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$, then $g \circ f$ is a deformation of order k of the G -structures $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_3 \rightarrow M_3$ at the point $u \in M_1$.

Lemma 1 implies easily:

Lemma 2. *A diffeomorphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a deformation of order k of G -structures $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ at a point $u \in M_1$ if and only if $Id : M_1 \rightarrow M_1$ is a deformation of order k of G -structures $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $\tilde{f}^{-1}(B_2) \rightarrow M_1$ at the point $u \in M_1$.*

If G is a closed subgroup of $GI(n, \mathbf{R})$ then any G -structure on M has a representation $\sigma : M \rightarrow F(M)/G$ (see 1) and the terms of it we can paraphrase the notion of deformation in the following way.

Proposition 1. *A diffeomorphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a deformation of order k of a G -structure $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ represented by a cross-section $\sigma_1 : M_1 \rightarrow F(M_1)/G$ with a G -structure $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ represented by a cross-section $\sigma_2 : M_2 \rightarrow F(M_2)/G$ at a point $u \in M_1$ if and only if there exists a local diffeomorphism ψ of M_1 with $\psi(u) = u$ such that*

$$(1) \quad j_{f(u)}^k(\tilde{f}\psi\sigma_1) = j_{f(u)}^k(\sigma_2).$$

Remark. (1) can be written in an equivalent form

$$(2) \quad j_u^k(\tilde{\psi}\sigma_1) = j_u^k(\tilde{f}^{-1}\sigma_2)$$

which will be frequently used in the sequel.

Proof. By Lemma 2 we can suppose $M_1 = M_2 = M$ and $f = Id$. Thus we have two G -structures $B_1 \rightarrow M$ and $B_2 \rightarrow M$ and a point $u \in M$. Further, for the sake of simplicity we can suppose that there exists $p \in B_1 \cap B_2$ with $\pi(p) = u$ which implies immediately $\pi^{-1}(u) \cap B_1 = \pi^{-1}(u) \cap B_2$ and therefore $\sigma_1(u) = \sigma_2(u)$. For our purpose it is sufficient to introduce on $F(M)$ special fibre coordinates related with the fiberings $F(M) \xrightarrow{\tau\sigma} F(M)/G \xrightarrow{\pi\sigma} M$.

Remark. We can see that the deformation of order k does not depend on the mapping ψ but only on its $(k + 1)$ -jet at u . Thus we can use only $(k + 1)$ -jet with the property (1) instead of ψ .

Now we can formulate naturally arising problems:

- (1) Under which conditions is a given mapping a deformation of order k ?
- (2) Under which conditions are two G -structures in deformation of order k ?
- (3) Is it possible for a G -structure $B \rightarrow M$ to find a non-negative integer k such that $Id : M \rightarrow M$ is a deformation of order k the G -structure $B \rightarrow M$ with a G -structure $B_1 \rightarrow M$ then $B = B_1$?

In other words: Is it possible to find such k that a deformation of order k is already an equivalence?

In the sequel we shall study conditions equivalent to the notion of deformation and relations between a deformation and an equivalence especially in the case of $\{e\}$ -structures.

2. Deformation of $\{e\}$ -structures

We know from the preceding chapter that an $\{e\}$ -structure on a manifold M is a full parallelism on M , i.e. a global cross-section $\mathbf{v} : M \rightarrow F(M)$. It can be expressed in the form $\mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ where \mathbf{v}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are global vector fields on M and for any $x \in M$ the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1(x), \dots, \mathbf{v}_n(x)$ form a basis of $T_x(M)$.

If $\mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is an $\{e\}$ -structure on M , we can, using the Lie brackets, define the global vector fields $\mathbf{v}_{i_1 i_2}, \mathbf{v}_{i_1 i_2 i_3}, \dots$ on M as follows

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{v}_{i_1 i_2} = [\mathbf{v}_{i_2}, \mathbf{v}_{i_1}], \mathbf{v}_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = [\mathbf{v}_{i_3}, [\mathbf{v}_{i_2}, \mathbf{v}_{i_1}]], \dots$$

Remark. Obviously any two G -structures are in deformation of order 0 so that we shall start from the deformation of order one.

Theorem. 1. *A diffeomorphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a deformation of order k of $\{e\}$ -structures $\mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ on M_1 and $\mathbf{w} = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ on M_2 at a point $u \in M_1$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $L : T_u M_1 \rightarrow T_{f(u)} M_2$ such that*

$$(4) \quad L(\mathbf{v}_{i_1}(u)) = \mathbf{w}_{i_1}(f(u)), \dots, L(\mathbf{v}_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}(u)) = \mathbf{w}_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}(f(u))$$

for all $i_1, \dots, i_{k+1} = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. By Lemma 2 we can suppose $M = M_1 = M_2$ and $f = Id$. We shall proceed by induction. For $k = 0$ the theorem holds by the preceding remark.

Let us suppose that it holds for $k \geq 0$. If \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are in deformation of order k and ψ is a mapping realizing this deformation (in fact, a $(k + 1)$ -jet of ψ) then the $\{e\}$ -structure $\mathbf{v}^* = \{\mathbf{v}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n^*\}$ defined by $\mathbf{v}_i^* = \psi_* \mathbf{v}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ is equivalent with \mathbf{v} and in deformation of order k with \mathbf{w} at the point u through the identity map ($(k + 1)$ -jet of the identity map), and by induction hypothesis we have

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{v}_i^*(u) = \mathbf{w}_i(u), \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}^*(u) = \mathbf{w}_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \quad \text{for all } i_1 \dots i_{k+1} = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now because \mathbf{v}^* and \mathbf{v} are equivalent it suffices to prove the assertion for \mathbf{v}^* and \mathbf{w} (see Lemma 1).

Let us take a chart $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ around it such that $x^i(u) = 0$, $\mathbf{v}_i^* = X_i^\alpha \partial / \partial x^\alpha$ and $\mathbf{w}_i = Y_i^\alpha \partial / \partial x^\alpha$ with $X_i^\alpha(0) = Y_i^\alpha(0) = \delta_i^\alpha$.

From the conditions (5) and the fact that Id realizes a deformation of order k we get immediately the equalities

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial^l Y_i^\alpha}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_l}}(0) = \frac{\partial^l X_i^\alpha}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_l}}(0)$$

for $1 \leq l \leq k \leq n$ and all $\alpha, i, j_1, \dots, j_k = 1, \dots, n$.

If there exists φ such that $J_0^{k+1}\tilde{\varphi}v_i^* = J_0^{k+1}w_i$ then necessarily $J_0^k\varphi = J_0^k(Id)$ and we must study the equality of the $(k + 1)$ derivatives of the mappings:

$$X_i^\alpha \frac{\partial \varphi^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_i^\beta \circ \varphi \quad \text{at} \quad 0 = (0, \dots, 0).$$

Taking $k + 1$ derivatives at 0 we have the only nonzero terms

$$(7) \quad \frac{\partial^{k+1} X_i^\beta}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0) + \frac{\partial^{k+2} \varphi^\beta}{\partial x^i \partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0) = \frac{\partial^{k+1} Y_i^\beta}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0)$$

i.e. we get the equality

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial^{k+2} \varphi^\beta}{\partial x^i \partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0) = \frac{\partial^{k+1} Y_i^\beta}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0) - \frac{\partial^{k+1} X_i^\beta}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0)$$

and the condition:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^{k+1} Y_i^\beta}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0) - \frac{\partial^{k+1} X_i^\beta}{\partial x^{j_1} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0) = \\ & = \frac{\partial^{k+1} Y_{j_1}^\beta}{\partial x^i \partial x^{j_2} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0) - \frac{\partial^{k+1} X_{j_1}^\beta}{\partial x^i \partial x^{j_2} \dots \partial x^{j_{k+1}}} (0). \end{aligned}$$

Let us study the equality of two brackets:

$$(10) \quad [\mathbf{v}_{j_{k+1}} [\mathbf{v}_{j_k} \dots [\mathbf{v}_{j_2}, \mathbf{v}_{j_1}] \dots]] (0) = [\mathbf{w}_{j_{k+1}} [\mathbf{w}_{j_k} \dots [\mathbf{w}_{j_2}, \mathbf{w}_{j_1}] \dots]] (0).$$

In the coordinate expression the brackets on the left and the right hand sides contain derivatives up to and including the order $(k + 1)$ of the functions X_i^α and Y_i^α respectively at the point 0. The derivatives of functions X_i^α and Y_i^α up to and including the order k are equal to each other, therefore the only interesting terms are those including derivatives of order $k + 1$ which are on the left-hand side:

$$\frac{\partial^{k+1} X_{i_1}^\alpha}{\partial x^{i_2} \dots \partial x^{i_{k+2}}} (0) - \frac{\partial^{k+1} X_{i_2}^\alpha}{\partial x^{i_1} \partial x^{i_3} \dots \partial x^{i_{k+2}}} (0)$$

and on the right-hand side:

$$\frac{\partial^{k+1} Y_{i_1}^\alpha}{\partial x^{i_2} \dots \partial x^{i_{k+2}}} (0) - \frac{\partial^{k+1} Y_{i_2}^\alpha}{\partial x^{i_1} \partial x^{i_3} \dots \partial x^{i_{k+2}}} (0).$$

However, the equality of these two terms is exactly the equality (9). That is, to a $(k + 1)$ -jet a $(k + 2)$ -jet can be constructed if and only if the $(k + 1)$ ' brackets are equal.

With an $\{e\}$ -structure $v = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ on M we can associate a system of differentiable functions $c_{i_1 \dots i_p}^\alpha : M \rightarrow R(i_1 \dots i_p, \alpha = 1, \dots, n, p \text{ arbitrary})$ defined by

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} [\mathbf{v}_{i_2}, \mathbf{v}_{i_1}] &= c_{i_1 i_2}^\alpha \mathbf{v}_\alpha, \\ &\vdots \\ [\mathbf{v}_{i_p}, [\mathbf{v}_{i_{p-1}}, \dots [\mathbf{v}_{i_2}, \mathbf{v}_{i_1}] \dots]] &= c_{i_1 \dots i_p}^\alpha \mathbf{v}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In an equivalent way we can associate with an $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} a system of vector-valued functions on M , ${}^1c_v, {}^2c_v, \dots$, so that

$$(12) \quad {}^1c_v : M \rightarrow \underbrace{HOM(\mathbf{R}^n \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)}_{l+1}$$

is defined by

$$(13) \quad {}^1c_v(\mathbf{x}_0)(\mathbf{e}_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_{l+1}}) = c_{i_1 \dots i_{l+1}}^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha$$

$\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ being the standart bases on \mathbf{R}^n .

Proposition 2. *Diffeomorphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a deformation of order k of $\{e\}$ -structures \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} on M_1 and M_2 respectively at a point $u \in M$ if and only if the functions c_v and ${}^i c_w$ just defined satisfy*

$$(14) \quad c_v^i(u) = c_w^i(f(u)) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Proof. By the theorem it suffices to study the existence of an isomorphism L with the properties (4). Defining L on the bases of the tangent spaces by

$$(15) \quad L(\mathbf{v}_\alpha(u)) = \mathbf{w}_\alpha(f(u))$$

then

$$(16) \quad L([\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j])(u) = L(c_{vij}^\alpha(u) \mathbf{v}_\alpha(u)) = c_{vij}^\alpha(u) \mathbf{w}_\alpha(f(u))$$

and therefore

$$(17) \quad L([\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j])(u) = [\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j](f(u)) = c_{wij}^\alpha(f(u)) \mathbf{w}_\alpha(f(u))$$

if and only if

$$(18) \quad c_{vij}^\alpha(u) = c_{wij}^\alpha(f(u)).$$

Similarly we find that $L(\mathbf{w}_{i_1 \dots i_l}(u)) = \mathbf{w}_{i_1 \dots i_l}(f(u))$ if and only if

$${}^l c_v(u) = {}^l c_w(f(u)).$$

Remark. The functions $c_{v_{i_1 \dots i_p}}^\alpha$ and ${}^p c_w$ will be called structural functions of order p of a structure \mathbf{v} .

Corollary. A diffeomorphism $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a deformation of order k of $\{e\}$ -structures \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} on M_1 and M_2 respectively if and only if

$$(19) \quad {}^i c_v \circ f = {}^i c_w, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

The next paragraph will be devoted to the relation of deformation and equivalence of $\{e\}$ -structures.

3. Deformations and equivalence of $\{e\}$ -structures

If $\mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is an $\{e\}$ -structure on M and $f: M \rightarrow R$ a differentiable function we define i^{th} covariant derivative $f_{;i}$ with respect to v by

$$(20) \quad f_{;i} = \mathbf{v}_i f.$$

If F is a system of differentiable functions on M then the rank $r_p(F)$ of the system F at a point p is defined to be the dimension of the *suppose* of T_p^*M spanned by the differentials $\{df_p, f \in F\}$. System F is called regular at p if $r_q(F) = r_p(F)$ for all q from a neighborhood of p . We get easily:

Lemma 3. If F is regular at p and $r_p(F) = k$, then there exists a chart (x^1, \dots, x^n) around p such that $x^1, \dots, x^k \in F$ and every functions $f \in F$ can be expressed in the form $f = f(x^1, \dots, x^k)$. Any such chart is said to be associated with F at p .

Our definition of covariant derivative is equivalent to that from the book by Sternberg [1, K7]. We use also freely some definitions and lemmas from that book.

Our structural functions $c_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}}^\alpha$ defined in § 2 satisfy the equalities

$$(21) \quad c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^\gamma = \mathbf{v}_{i_3} c_{i_1 i_2}^\gamma + c_{i_1 i_2}^\alpha c_{i_3 \alpha}^\gamma = c_{i_1 i_2; i_3}^\gamma + c_{i_1 i_2}^\alpha c_{i_3 \alpha}^\gamma.$$

Further, for any positive integer k we have

$$(22) \quad c_{i_1 \dots i_k}^\gamma = c_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}; i_k}^\gamma + c_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}^\alpha c_{i_k \alpha}^\gamma.$$

We denote by F_0 the system functions $\{c_{i_1 i_2}^\gamma\}$ on M and similarly

$$F_s = \{c_{i_1 i_2}^\gamma, \dots, c_{i_1 \dots i_{s+2}}^\gamma\}.$$

We define $k_s(p) = r_p(F_s)$.

The formulas (21) and (22) imply immediately that the rank of the system F is equal to the rank of the system

$$(23) \quad \tilde{F}_s = \{c_{i_1 i_2}^\gamma, \dots, c_{i_1 i_2; i_3; i_4; \dots; i_{s+1}}^\gamma\}.$$

From the inclusion $F_s \subset F_{s+1}$ we can see that

$$(24) \quad 0 \leq k_0(p) \leq k_1(p) \leq \dots \leq k_s(p) \leq n.$$

Lemma 4 ([1]). Let F_s be regular at p . If $k_s(p) = k_{s+1}(p)$ then $k_t(p) = k_s(p)$ for $t \geq s$.

Proof. See Sternberg [1].

Definition 2. A point $p \in M$ is called a *regular point* of an $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} if there exists s such that F_s is regular at p and $r_s(p) = r_{s+1}(p)$. The smallest s having this property will be called *the order at the point p* of the $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} and will be denoted by $r(p)$. The number $k_p = k_s(p)$ will be called *the rank at the point p* of the $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} . A chart around p associated with F_s will be called associated at the point p with the $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} .

Definition 3. A point $p \in M$ is called an *s-general point* of an $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} if $r_s(p) = n$. The smallest s having this property is called *the order of generality* of the point p and will be denoted by $\text{deg}_{\mathbf{v}}(p)$.

A point p is *s-general* if we can find a functions belonging to F_s and linearly independent at p . Of course then there exists a neighborhood of p such that for any q from this neighborhood there is $r_s(q) = n$ and the point p is therefore a regular point.

0-general point will be called simply a general point. At such a point differentials of the functions c_{ij}^γ generate the contangent space.

Proposition 3. Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a deformation of order two of an $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} on M_1 with \mathbf{w} on M_2 , $u \in M_1$ a general point of \mathbf{v} . Then $f(u)$ is a general point of \mathbf{w} and f is a local equivalence of \mathbf{v} with \mathbf{w} at the point $(u, f(u)) \in M_1 \times M_2$.

Proof. By Lemma 2 § 2 we can again suppose $M_1 = M_2 = M$ and $f = Id$. Thus we have two $\{e\}$ -structures \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} on M and a general point u of the $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} . Proposition 2 implies immediately the equality of structures functions

$$c_{vij}^\gamma(x) = c_{wv ij}^\gamma(x), \quad c_{vij k}^\gamma(x) = c_{wv ij k}^\gamma(x) \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, \gamma = 1, \dots, n$$

on M . From these two equalities and (21) we get e.g.

$$dc_{vij}^\gamma(x) (\mathbf{v}_k(x) - \mathbf{w}_k(x)) = 0, \quad x \in M.$$

So we have $\mathbf{v}_k(x) = \mathbf{w}_k(x)$ at all points x at which the functions $\{c_{ij}^\gamma\}$ have the rank n . Because of u_0 being a general points of v this condition is satisfied on a neighborhood of u_0 . The first part of the assertion is evident.

Proposition 3 can be generalized to:

Proposition 4. Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a deformation of order $(s + 2)$ of $\{e\}$ -structures \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} on M_1 and M_2 respectively. If $u_0 \in M_1$ is an *s-general point* of \mathbf{v}

then $f(u_0)$ is an s -general of \mathbf{w} and f is a local equivalence of \mathbf{v} with \mathbf{w} at the point $(u_0, f(u_0)) \in M_1 \times M_2$.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3. f being a deformation of order $(s + 2)$ we get on M the equations (for all $i_1, \dots, i_{s+2}, k, \gamma = 1, \dots, n$).

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} dc_{i_1 i_2}^\gamma(\mathbf{v}_k(x) - \mathbf{w}_k(x)) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ dc_{i_1 \dots i_{s+2}}^\gamma(\mathbf{v}_k(x) - \mathbf{w}_k(x)) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, since $dc_{i_1 i_2}^\gamma(x), \dots, dc_{i_1 \dots i_{s+2}}^\gamma(x)$ generate T_x^*M for all x from a neighborhood of u_0

$$\mathbf{v}_k(x) = \mathbf{w}_k(x), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

holds on this neighborhood. Globally this proposition can be formulated as:

Proposition 5. *Let \mathbf{v} be an $\{e\}$ -structure on M_1 such that all points from M_1 are s -general points of \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{w} an $\{e\}$ -structure on M_2 , and $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ a diffeomorphism. If f is a deformation of order $(s + 2)$ then f is an equivalence.*

Proof. Proposition 5 is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.

If an $\{e\}$ -structures on M has no s -general points for any s , i.e. its rank is always less than n , then it is not possible under the hypothesis that f is a deformation of arbitrarily high rank to prove that f is an equivalence. It is only possible to prove the existence of a local equivalence from the existence of a deformation of a certain order under further additional suppositions.

If for example \mathbf{v} is an $\{e\}$ -structure with all structural functions of order one vanishing (and then all structural functions vanish) then an arbitrary diffeomorphism of M to itself is a deformation of arbitrarily high rank but is not necessarily a local equivalence. But is such a case a local equivalence always exists.

Using Proposition 4 from Sternberg [1] it is possible to prove:

Proposition 6. *Let p be a regular point of an $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} on M_1 of order r and rank k , q a regular point of an $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{w} on M_2 of order r and rank k . If there exists a deformation $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ of order $r + 2$ of the $\{e\}$ -structure \mathbf{v} with \mathbf{w} such that $f(p) = q$ then there exists a local equivalence \mathbf{v} with \mathbf{w} at the point $(p, q) \in M_1 \times M_2$.*

Proof. We show that under our hypothesis the hypothesis of Proposition 4,1 from [1] is satisfied. The existence of a deformation of order $(r + 2)$ implies immediately the equality ${}^i\tilde{c} = {}^i c$ for structural functions up to and including the order $(r + 1)$. If (x^1, \dots, x^n) is a chart associated with \mathbf{v} at p then it is also associated with \mathbf{w} . From the equality of the structural functions of order $r + 1$ the condition (iii) from Sternberg [1] follows immediately.

4. Flatness of G -structures

In the end I would like to present some results from a paper of Guillemin [2]. Let us introduce the following notation and definitions (the rest of notions used here can be found in [2]).

A G -structure $B \rightarrow M$ is called *uniformly k -flat* if it is in deformation of order k with the standard flat G -structure at any point $(x, 0) \in M \times R^n$.

If $B_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ are G -structures, we say that a diffeomorphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ *preserves the structure up to the order k at $u \in M_1$* if there exists $p \in \pi_2^{-1}(f(u))$ such that the G -structures $B_2 \rightarrow M_2$ and $\tilde{f}(B_1) \rightarrow M_1$ are in contact of order k at p .

Again this is only a property of the $(k + 1)$ -jet of f and not of f itself, and thus we shall speak about $(k + 1)$ -jet preserving the structure up to the order k .

If $B \rightarrow M$ is a uniformly k -flat G -structure we can define a principal fibre bundle $\pi^k : E^k \rightarrow M$ of all $(k + 1)$ -jets with a source $0 \in R^n$ and target in M preserving the structure up to the order k . On E^k , a canonical 1-form with values in $V + \mathfrak{Y} + \dots + \mathfrak{Y}^{(k-1)}$ and structural functions

$$c^k : E^k \rightarrow H^{k,2}(\mathfrak{Y})$$

can be defined.

$(H^{k,1}(\mathfrak{Y}))$ is the Spencer cohomology of \mathfrak{Y}). Then we have:

Proposition 7. *Let $B \rightarrow M$ be uniformly k -flat G -structure. $B \rightarrow M$ is in deformation of order $(k + 1)$ at $(x, 0) \in M \times R^n$ with the standard flat G -structure on R^n if and only if $c^k(p) = 0$ for some element $p \in (\pi^k)^{-1}(x)$. If $H^{k,2}(\mathfrak{Y}) = 0$, then the uniform k -flatness implies the uniform $(k + 1)$ -flatness.*

References

- [1] *S. Sternberg*: Lectures on differential geometry, Prentice Hall 1964.
- [2] *V. Guillemin*: The integrability problem for G -structures, Transactions of AMS 116 (1965) 544—560.
- [3] *V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg*: Deformation theory of pseudogroup structures, Memoirs of the AMS 64 (1966).
- [4] *Kobayashi, Nomizu*: Foundations of differential geometry, Interscience publishers 1963.
- [5] *P. A. Griffiths*: Deformations of G -structures *A. Math. Annalen* 155 (1964) 292—315.

Author's address: Praha 1, Malostranské nám. 25, ČSSR (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK.)