

Howard B. Lambert  
On unisolvent systems

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 21 (1971), No. 3, 364–365

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101033>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON UNISOLVENT SYSTEMS\*)

HOWARD B. LAMBERT, Commerce

(Received December 11, 1969)

The purpose of this paper is to give some miscellaneous results concerning unisolvent systems of equations.

Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  be real valued functions defined on a set  $S$ . Denote by  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^n$  the  $n$  by  $n$  determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & f_1(x_2) & \dots & f_1(x_n) \\ f_2(x_1) & f_2(x_2) & \dots & f_2(x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_n(x_1) & f_n(x_2) & \dots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is a subset of  $S$  consisting of  $n$  distinct points.

**Definition.** The system of  $n$  functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  is *unisolvent* on the set  $S$  if and only if  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^n \neq 0$  for every selection of  $n$  distinct points in  $S$  [1, page 31].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  be an even number of continuous functions which are unisolvent on the closed interval  $[a, b]$ .

Suppose that  $f_{n+1}$  is continuous on the open interval  $(a, b)$  with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f_{n+1}(x) = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow b} f_{n+1}(x) = +\infty$ . Then the set  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n+1}$  cannot be unisolvent on  $(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Let the numbers  $c$  and  $d$  be chosen such that  $a < c < d < b$ . Then  $f_{n+1}$  is bounded on  $[c, d]$  and the  $f_i$ 's,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are bounded on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $M$  be a number which is greater than the absolute value of all of these upper and lower bounds. Since the expansion of  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^n$  contains  $n!$  terms, with  $n$  factor in each term, it follows that an upper bound for the absolute value of  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^n$  is  $n! M^n$ . Choose  $n + 1$  points such that  $c \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < x_{n+1} \leq d$  and consider  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$ . Denote the cofactor of  $f_i(x_j)$  by  $F_i(x_j)$  and we have

$$|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^{n+1} = f_{n+1}(x_1) F_{n+1}(x_1) + \dots + f_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) F_{n+1}(x_{n+1})$$

\*) This work was done under a Faculty Research Grant from East Texas State University.

If we hold  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}$  fixed and let  $x_1$  tend toward  $a$ , the sign of  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$  will be dominated by the sign of  $f_{n+1}(x_1) F_{n+1}(x_1)$ . To see this, note that (1)  $F_{n+1}(x_1)$  is a constant, since it does not contain  $x_1$ , (2)  $F_{n+1}(x_1)$  is not zero, since  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are unisolvent and (3)  $F_{n+1}(x_i), i = 2, \dots, n$  is bounded in absolute value by  $n! M^n$ .

On the other hand, if we hold  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  fixed and let  $x_{n+1}$  tend toward  $b$ , the sign of  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$  is dominated by  $f_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) F_{n+1}(x_{n+1})$ . Now  $F_{n+1}(x_1)$  has the same sign as  $F_{n+1}(x_{n+1})$  since the  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  are continuous and unisolvent and the deminisions of  $|f_1(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$  is odd. Since the determinant  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$  takes on values continuously, and  $f_{n+1}(x_1)$  and  $f_{n+1}(x_n)$  have opposite signs in the limits above, it follows that  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$  must be zero for some value of  $x_1$  or  $x_{n+1}$ . Therefore  $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}$  cannot be unisolvent on  $(a, b)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  be an odd number of continuous functions which are unisolvent on the closed interval  $[a, b]$ , Suppose that  $f_{n+1}$  is continuous on the open interval  $(a, b)$  with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f_{n+1}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow b} f_{n+1}(x) = \infty .$$

Then  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n+1}$  cannot be unisolvent on  $(a, b)$ .

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be  $n$  functions defined on a set  $S$ . If any  $n - k, 0 \leq k \leq \leq n - 2$ , of these functions have common values for  $k + 2$  points in  $S$ , then  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are not unisolvent.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $f_1, \dots, f_{n-k}$  have common values at the points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+2}$ . Choose any other  $n - (k + 2)$  points of  $S$  and expand  $|f_i(x_j)|_{i,j=1}^n$  by minors with respect to the last row. After  $k$  expansions, we have for a first term

$$f_n(x_1) f_{n-1}(x_2) \dots f_{n-k+1}(x_k) \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_{k+1}) & \dots & f_1(x_n) \\ \vdots & & \\ f_{n-k}(x_{k+1}) & \dots & f_{n-k}(x_n) \end{vmatrix} .$$

But the first two columns of this determinant are identical. Thus this term is 0. A similar argument hold for each term. Therefore  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are not unisolvent.

#### References

- [1] Davis, Philip J.: Interpolation and Approximation, Blaisdell Publishing Company, New York, 1963.

*Author's address:* East Texas University, Commerce, Texas, U.S.A.