

Ram Murti Goel

A class of close-to-convex functions

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 18 (1968), No. 1, 104–116

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100815>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A CLASS OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

R. M. GOEL, Patiala

(Received August 8, 1966)

1. Introduction. Let $f(z), F(z)$ be regular in the unit disk $D(|z| < 1)$ and satisfy the conditions $f(0) = F(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1, F'(0) = e^{i\beta}$, where β is real. If

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} \geq \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z F'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} \geq \sigma$$

for z in D and $0 \leq \lambda, \sigma \leq 1$, then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex of order λ and type σ with respect to $F(z)$. This class of functions is discussed by R. J. LIBRA in [2].

Let

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_n z^n + \dots$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad F(z) = e^{i\beta} z + b_2 z^2 + \dots + b_n z^n + \dots$$

Since

$$(1.4) \quad \left. \frac{z f'(z)}{F(z)} \right|_{z=0} = e^{-i\beta} = \cos \beta - i \sin \beta, \quad \cos \beta \geq \lambda,$$

we have

$$(1.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sec \beta \frac{z f'(z)}{F(z)} + i \tan \beta \right\} \geq \lambda \sec \beta.$$

Denote by $C^*(\lambda, \sigma)$, $0 \leq \lambda, \sigma \leq 1$, the family of all functions $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ which satisfy (1.1) and

$$(1.6) \quad \left| \frac{\sec \beta \frac{z f'(z)}{F(z)} + i \tan \beta - \lambda \sec \beta}{1 - \lambda \sec \beta} - \alpha \right| < \alpha, \quad (\alpha \geq 1) \quad \text{for} \quad |z| < 1.$$

The results obtained by R. J. Libra in [2] shall follow as special cases from our results by taking $\alpha = \infty$.

2. We first prove the following lemmas which will be used in the subsequent work.

Lemma (2.1). *Let*

$$(2.1) \quad P(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots + p_nz^n + \dots$$

be regular in D and satisfy the condition

$$|P(z) - \alpha| < \alpha, (\alpha \geq 1) \text{ for } |z| < 1,$$

then

$$(2.2) \quad P(z) = \frac{1 + \varphi(z)}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\varphi(z)},$$

where $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(z)$ is regular in $|z| < 1$, satisfying $|\varphi(z)| \leq |z|$ in $|z| < 1$.

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|z|} \leq |P(z)| \leq \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|z|}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \frac{z P'(z)}{P(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|z|}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}|z| - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|z|^2}.$$

All these inequalities are the best possible.

Proof.

Let

$$(2.5) \quad \psi(z) = \frac{P(z)}{\alpha} - 1,$$

then

$$(2.6) \quad \psi(0) = \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1.$$

Let

$$(2.7) \quad \varphi(z) = \frac{\psi(z) - \psi(0)}{1 - \psi(0)\psi(z)},$$

then $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $|\varphi(z)| < 1$, therefore by Schwarz's lemma

$$(2.8) \quad |\varphi(z)| \leq |z|.$$

From (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain

$$P(z) = \frac{1 + \varphi(z)}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\varphi(z)},$$

which proves (2.2).

(2.2) gives in connection with (2.8)

$$\frac{1 - |z|}{1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|z|} \leq |P(z)| \leq \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|z|}.$$

Equality holds for

$$P(z) = \frac{1 + \varepsilon z}{1 - \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)z}, \quad |\varepsilon| = 1.$$

From (2.2)

$$P(z) = \frac{1 + \varphi(z)}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\varphi(z)}.$$

Differentiation and simplification give

$$\frac{z P'(z)}{P(z)} = \frac{\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)z \varphi'(z)}{1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\varphi(z) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\varphi^2(z)}.$$

Therefore

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \frac{z P'(z)}{P(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|z|}{1 - |z|^2} \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}|\varphi(z)| - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|\varphi(z)|^2},$$

Where we used the estimate $|\varphi'(z)| \leq (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)$ (see [1], p. 18). It can be easily shown that

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}|\varphi(z)| - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|\varphi(z)|^2} \leq \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}|z| - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)|z|^2}.$$

(2.9) gives in connection with (2.10)

$$\left| \frac{z P'(z)}{P(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) |z|}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} |z| - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) |z|^2},$$

with equality holding for

$$P(z) = \frac{1 + \varepsilon z}{1 - \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) z}, \quad |\varepsilon| = 1.$$

Lemma (2.2). *If $P(z)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma (2.1), then*

$$(2.11) \quad |p_n| \leq \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \text{ for all } n.$$

The bounds are sharp.

Proof. From (2.2)

$$P(z) = \frac{1 + \varphi(z)}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \varphi(z)},$$

where $\varphi(z)$ is regular in D and satisfies the conditions $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ for z in D . Therefore

$$\left[\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_k z^k \right] \varphi(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k z^k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k z^k,$$

where $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ converges in D . Then, since $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$,

$$\left| \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_k z^k \right| \geq \left| \sum_{k=1}^n p_k z^k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \right|, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Squaring both sides and integrating around $|z| = r < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |p_k|^2 r^{2k} &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n |p_k|^2 r^{2k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 r^{2k} \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n |p_k|^2 r^{2k}, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $r \rightarrow 1$, then

$$\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |p_k|^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^n |p_k|^2,$$

or

$$|p_n|^2 \leq \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 - \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2\right] \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |p_k|^2.$$

Therefore,

$$|p_n| \leq \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Considering now the function

$$P(z) = \frac{1 + z^n}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)z^n}, \quad |z| < 1$$

for which

$$\left| \frac{1}{\alpha} P(z) - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) + z^n}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)z^n} \right| \leq 1$$

for $|z| < 1$, $(1/\alpha - 1) < 1$.

Also $P(z)$ has the expansion

$$P(z) = 1 + \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)z^n + \dots$$

showing that the estimate is sharp.

3. Some properties of $c^*(\lambda, \sigma)$. Theorem (3.1) *If $f(z)$ belongs to $c^*(\lambda, \sigma)$, then the radius of convexity of $f(z)$ is greater than or equal to the smallest positive root of*

$$(3.1) \quad (1 - 2\sigma) \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right] r^3 - \\ - \left[(2\sigma - 1) + 2(1 - \sigma) \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right\} + \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) \right] r^2 + \\ + (2\sigma - 3)r + 1 = 0.$$

where $b = \text{Sec } \beta$.

Proof. Let

$$(3.2) \quad P(z) = \frac{\text{Sec } \beta \frac{z f'(z)}{F(z)} + i \tan \beta - \lambda \text{Sec } \beta}{1 - \lambda \text{Sec } \beta},$$

then $P(z)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma (2.1). Differentiating (3.2) and simplifying we get

$$(3.2') \quad 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{z F'(z)}{F(z)} + \frac{z P'(z)}{P(z) + \eta}, \quad \eta = \frac{\lambda \operatorname{Sec} \beta - i \tan \beta}{1 - \lambda \operatorname{Sec} \beta},$$

here $\operatorname{Re} \{\eta\} \geq 0$, then

$$(3.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq \min \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z F'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} - \max \left| \frac{z P'(z)}{P(z) + \eta} \right|.$$

Since $F(z)$ is starlike of order σ , therefore [3].

$$(3.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z F'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1 - (1 - 2\sigma)r}{1 + r}, \quad |z| = r, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

$$\left| \frac{z P'(z)}{P(z) + \eta} \right| = \frac{\left| \frac{z P'(z)}{P(z)} \right|}{1 + \frac{\eta}{P(z)}} \leq \frac{\left| \frac{z P'(z)}{P(z)} \right|}{\left[1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\eta}{P(z)} \right\} \right]}$$

using (2.3) and (2.4)

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) |z|}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} |z| - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) |z|^2} \frac{1}{1 + \operatorname{Re} \{\eta\}} \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) |z|} = \frac{\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) r(1 - \lambda b)}{(1 - r) \left[1 + r \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) (1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right\} \right]},$$

here $b = \operatorname{Sec} \beta$, $|z| = r$.

From (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$(3.6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1 - (1 - 2\sigma)r}{1 + r} - \frac{\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) (1 - \lambda b) r}{(1 - r) \left[1 + r \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) (1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right\} \right]} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{ (1 - 2\sigma) \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right] r^3 - \right. \\
&- \left[(2\sigma - 1) + 2(1 - \sigma) \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right\} + \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda b) \right] r^2 + \\
&\left. + 2(\sigma - 3)r + 1 \right\} \cdot \left\{ (1 - r^2) \left[1 + r \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right\} \right] \right\}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

$f(z)$ is Convex whenever the last expression is positive. Denoting by $P(r)$, the numerator on the right hand side of the ineuqality (3,6), observe that $P(0) = 1 > 0$ and $P(1) = -2(2 - 1/\alpha)(1 - \lambda b) < 0$.

Therefore the smallest positive root of the equation $P(r) = 0$ lies between 0 and 1. If we denote this root by r_0 , it follows that the inequality (3.6) holds for $r = |z| < r_0$. Hence the radius of convexity of $f(z)$ is greater than or equal to the smallest positive root of

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1 - 2\sigma) \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right] r^3 - \\
&- \left[(2\sigma - 1) + 2(1 - \sigma) \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right\} + \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda b) \right] r^2 + \\
&\quad + (2\sigma - 3)r + 1 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem (3.2) *If $f(z) \in c^*(\lambda, \sigma)$, then for $|z| = r$, $0 \leq r < 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad &\frac{1 - r}{(1 + r)^{2(1-\sigma)} \left[1 + r \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda) - \lambda \xi \right\} \right]} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1 + r \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda) - \lambda \right]}{(1 - r)^{3-2\sigma}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad &\int_0^r \frac{(1 - r) dr}{(1 + r)^{2(1-\sigma)} \left[1 + r \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda) - \lambda \right\} \right]} \leq |f(z)| \leq \\
&\left[\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ 2r(1 - \sigma) \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda) - \lambda \right] + \left[2(\lambda - \sigma) + \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 - \lambda) \right] \right. \\
&\left. \cdot [1 - (1 - r)^{2(1-\sigma)}] \right\} [2(1 - \sigma)(1 - 2\sigma)(1 - r)^{2(1-\sigma)}]^{-1}, \quad \sigma \neq \frac{1}{2}, 1.
\end{aligned} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} \leq \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda) \frac{r}{1 - r} + \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda) - \lambda\right] \log(1 - r), & \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \\ \leq \left[\lambda - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda)\right] r - \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda) \log(1 - r), & \sigma = 1. \end{cases}$$

The above estimates are all sharp.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in c^*(\lambda, \sigma)$ with respect to $F(z)$, $F'(0) = e^{i\beta}$ and $\text{Sec } \beta = b$. Then, since

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |f'(z)|, \quad |z| = r,$$

(3.9) gives in connection with (3.6)

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |f'(z)| \geq \frac{-2(1 - \sigma)}{1 + r} - \frac{1}{1 - r} - \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b}{1 + r \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b\right]}.$$

Integrating both sides of (3.10) from 0 to r , we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad |f''(z)| \geq \frac{1 - r}{(1 + r)^{2(1 - \sigma)} \left[1 + r \left\{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b\right\}\right]} \geq \frac{1 - r}{(1 + r)^{2(1 - \sigma)} \left[1 + r \left\{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda) - \lambda\right\}\right]},$$

since $\lambda b \geq \lambda$.

Again from (3.2), (3.5) and known bounds on $\text{Re} \{P(z)\}$, [3] we have

$$\text{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \leq \frac{1 + (1 - 2\sigma)r}{1 - r} + \frac{\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b)r}{(1 - r) \left[1 + r \left\{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b\right\}\right]},$$

for $|z| = r$; from which we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |f'(z)| \leq \frac{3 - 2\sigma}{1 - r} + \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b}{1 + r \left\{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b\right\}}.$$

Integrating from 0 to r , we get

$$(3.12) \quad |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1+r \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b \right]}{(1-r)^{3-2\sigma}} \leq \\ \leq \frac{r+1 \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda) - \lambda \right]}{(1-r)^{3-2\sigma}}, \quad \text{since } \lambda b \geq \lambda.$$

From (3.12) we have

$$|f(z)| = \left| \int_0^z f'(z) dz \right| \leq \int_0^r |f'(z)| dr \leq \\ \leq \int_0^r \frac{1+r \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda) - \lambda \right]}{(1-r)^{3-2\sigma}} dr.$$

On carrying out this integration, we obtain the upper bounds of (3.8).

If L is the arc in $|z| < 1$ which is mapped by $f(z)$ on the line segment $[0, f(z)]$, then

$$|f(z)| = \int_L |f'(z)| |dz| \geq \int_L |f'(z)| dr \geq \\ \geq \int_0^r \frac{(1-r) dr}{(1+r)^{2(1-\sigma)} \left[1+r \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda) - \lambda \right\} \right]}.$$

The estimates are all sharp.

Equality holds on the left side of (3.7) and (3.8) for the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1-z) dz}{(1+z)^{2(1-\sigma)} \left[1+z \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda) - \lambda \right\} \right]}.$$

Replacing r by z in the right side of (3.8) we obtain a function in $c^*(\lambda, \sigma)$ for which equality holds in both the upper bounds of Theorem (3.2).

Theorem (3.3). *If $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_n z^n + \dots$ is in $c^*(\lambda, \sigma)$, then*

$$(3.13) \quad |a_n| \leq \frac{2(3-2\sigma) \dots (n-2\sigma)}{n!} \left[(1-\sigma) + \frac{n-1}{2} \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1-\lambda) \right]$$

for $0 \leq \lambda, \sigma \leq 1$ and all n .

For $n = 2$, the inequality is best possible for every $\alpha \geq 1$.

Proof. Substituting the power series for $f(z)$, $F(z)$ and $P(z)$ from (1.2), (1.3) and (2.1) respectively in (3.2) we obtain after some simplification

$$z + 2a_2z^2 + \dots + na_nz^n + \dots = z + [b_2\bar{b}_1 + b_1(\cos \beta - \lambda) p_1] z^2 + \dots + [b_n\bar{b}_1 + b_{n-1} \cos \beta - \lambda) p_1 + \dots + b_1(\cos \beta - \lambda) p_{n-1}] z^n + \dots$$

Equating Coefficients it gives

$$n|a_n| \leq |b_n| + |b_{n-1}| |\cos \beta - \lambda| |p_1| + \dots + |b_1| |\cos \beta - \lambda| |p_{n-1}|$$

and hence on using (2.11) we have

$$(3.14) \quad |a_n| \leq \frac{|b_n|}{n} + \frac{\left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda)}{n} [|b_{n-1}| + |b_{n-2}| + \dots + |b_1|].$$

If $F(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is starlike of order σ , then

$$(3.15) \quad |b_n| \leq \frac{(2 - 2\sigma) \dots (n - 2\sigma)}{(n - 1)!}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, [4].$$

(3.14) gives in connection with (3.15)

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(3 - 2\sigma) \dots (n - 2\sigma)}{n!} \left[(1 - \sigma) + \frac{n - 1}{2} \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1 - \lambda) \right].$$

For general value of α the sharp upper bound is achieved by the function

$$F(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1 + \left[1 - \lambda + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)\right] z}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) z\right] (1 - z)^{2(1-\sigma)}} dz$$

for $n = 2$ only.

If $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, then the extremal function is

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \int_0^z \frac{1 + (1 - 2\lambda)z}{(1 - z)^{3 - 2\sigma}} dz = \\ &= \frac{z(1 - \sigma)(1 - 2\lambda) + (\lambda - \sigma)[1 - (1 - z)^{2(1-\sigma)}]}{(1 - \sigma)(1 - 2\sigma)(1 - z)^{2(1-\sigma)}} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma < 1$, $\sigma \neq \frac{1}{2}$;

$$f(z) = (1 - 2\lambda) \log(1 - z) + 2 \frac{(1 - \lambda)z}{1 - z}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$; and

$$f(z) = 2(\lambda - 1) \log(1 - z) + (2\lambda - 1)z$$

for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $\sigma = 1$. In this case the upper bounds are sharp for all n .

Remarks 1. $\lambda = \sigma = 0$ gives the class of close-to-convex functions.

2. For $\sigma = 1$, $F(z) = z$ and $\operatorname{Re} \{f'(z)\} \geq 0$, therefore $\lambda = 0$, $\sigma = 1$ gives the class of functions whose derivatives have positive real part in the unit circle.

3. If $\lambda = 1$, $\sigma = 0$, then $\operatorname{Re} \{zf'(z)/F(z)\} \geq 1$ and $zf'(z) = F(z)$; thus we get the class of convex functions.

4. When $\lambda = \sigma$, $F(z) = f(z)$, we obtain the class of starlike functions of order σ .

4. A class of function which are real on the real axis and convex in the direction of imaginary axis.

Suppose $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is real for real z and maps D onto a domain convex in the direction of imaginary axis, then $\operatorname{Re} \{f(z)/z\} > \frac{1}{2}$, [4].

Let F denote the class of all such functions which satisfy the condition

$$(4.1) \quad \left| \left(2 \frac{f(z)}{z} - 1 \right) - \alpha \right| < \alpha, \quad (\alpha \geq 1), \quad \text{for } |z| < 1.$$

Putting

$$(4.2) \quad P(z) = \frac{2f(z)}{z} - 1,$$

we see that $P(0) = 1$, $\operatorname{Re} \{P(z)\} > 0$. Therefore, from (2.2) and (4.2) we get

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{2} \frac{2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi(z)}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \varphi(z)},$$

which gives rise to the following theorem.

Theorem (4.1) *If $f(z) \in F$, then*

$$\frac{|z|}{2} \frac{2 - \frac{|z|}{\alpha}}{1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) |z|} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{2} \frac{2 + \frac{|z|}{\alpha}}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) |z|}.$$

The equality is obtained for

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{2} \frac{2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} z}{1 - \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) z}, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

By following a method similar to that of Lemma (2.2) we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem (4.2) *If $f(z) \in F$, then*

$$|a_n| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \text{ for all } n.$$

The equality is achieved by the functions

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{2} \frac{2 + \frac{z^{n-1}}{\alpha}}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) z^{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Theorem (4.3) *If $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ belong to F , then $\lambda f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) f_2(z)$ also belongs to F , ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$).*

Proof: Since $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ belongs to F , therefore,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2f_1(z)}{z} - 1 \right) - 1 \right| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2f_2(z)}{z} - 1 \right) - 1 \right| < 1.$$

New

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(2 \frac{\lambda f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) f_2(z)}{z} - 1 \right) - 1 \right| \leq \\ & \leq \lambda \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2f_1(z)}{z} - 1 \right) - 1 \right| + (1 - \lambda) \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2f_2(z)}{z} - 1 \right) - 1 \right| \leq \lambda + (1 - \lambda) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem.

Remarks. (1) Throughout the paper we have taken $\alpha \geq 1$ for the sake of simplicity otherwise all the above theorems remain valid with slight modification when $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$.

(2) Similar theorems can also be proved for functions which are typically real and functions which are starlike in one direction.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Professor VIKRAMADITYA SINGH for his kind help and encouragement.

References

- [1] *C. Caratheodory*: Theory of Functions, Vol. 2, Chelsea, New York, 1954.
- [2] *R. J. Libra*: Some radius of convexity problems, Duke Math. Journal, Vol. 31 (1964), pp. 143—158.
- [3] *Z. Nehari*: Conformal Mapping, New York, 1952.
- [4] *M. S. Robertson*: Annals of Math., Vol. 37 (1936), pp. 374—408.

Author's address: Department of mathematics Punjabi university, Patiala (India).