

Jan Kučera

Solution in large of control problem $\dot{x} = (Au + Bv)x$

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 17 (1967), No. 1, 91–96

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100763>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1967

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SOLUTION IN LARGE OF CONTROL PROBLEM

$$\dot{x} = (Au + Bv)x$$

JAN KUČERA, Praha

(Received November 19, 1965)

Let us have an equation

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} = (Au + Bv)x, \quad x(0) = \omega,$$

where A, B are given n -by- n matrices, ω is a vector, written as a column, from an n -dimensional Euclidean space E_n and $u, v \in M$, which is the set of all measurable functions on $\langle 0, \infty \rangle$ values of which lie in the interval $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$. The functions from M are called controls.

If we have two n -by- n matrices A, B , we denote by $\mathfrak{A}(A, B)$ the smallest linear space of n -by- n matrices which has the following two properties:

- 1) $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$,
- 2) $P, Q \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B) \Rightarrow (QP - PQ) \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$.

Finally, for every vector $x \in E_n$ we denote by $V(x)$ a vector space formed by all vectors Px , where $P \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$. One calls the mapping V distribution.

In the paper [1] we investigated the equation

$$(2) \quad \dot{x} \in V(x), \quad x(0) = \omega,$$

where we considered as a solution of (2) every absolutely continuous function $x(t)$, $t \geq 0$, with the property: if $dx(t)/dt$ exists, then $dx(t)/dt \in V(x(t))$, satisfying the initial condition $x(0) = \omega$.

In [1] it was proved that all points of E_n which can be linked with ω by a solution of (2) form a manifold S_ω dimension of which is equal to $\dim V(\omega)$. In this paper we will prove that that every point $x \in S_\omega$ lies also on a solution $x(t, u, v, \omega)$ of the equation (1), where the controls u, v are piecewise constant and acquire only the values $-1, 1$.

Notation. For $x \in E_n$ we use the norm $\|x\| = \sum |x_i|$, which induces the norm for an n -by- n matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ to be equal to $\|A\| = \max_j \sum_i |a_{ij}|$. The dimension of a (finite-dimensional) vector space V one writes $\dim V$. The symbol $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ represents the linear hull of elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k of some linear space. By $O(t)$, $t \rightarrow 0$, we denote a quantity, depending on t , which can be majorised by $c|t|$, where c is a positive constant, if t tends to zero. For n -by- n matrices we use the "bracket" operation: $[A_1, A_2] = A_2 A_1 - A_1 A_2$,

$$[A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k] = [A_1, [A_2, \dots [A_{k-1}, A_k] \dots]].$$

The zero-matrix and unit-matrix are denoted by 0 and E , respectively. The A^{-1} is an inverse to a non-singular matrix A . The solution of (1) which corresponds to given controls $u, v \in M$ and satisfy the initial condition $x(0, u, v, \omega) = \omega$, one denotes by $x(t, u, v, \omega)$. Finally, we denote by $M_0 \subset M \times M$ the set of all piecewise constant functions $(u, v) \in M \times M$ values of which are only $(\pm 1, 0)$, $(0, \pm 1)$.

Definition. The matrix $P \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$ which can be represented as $P = [P_1, P_2, \dots, P_p]$, where $P_i = \pm A$ or $P_i = \pm B$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$; one calls elementary of grade p .

It was proved in [1] that the space $\mathfrak{A}(A, B)$ is the linear hull of all elementary matrices.

Lemma 1. Let $P \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$ be an elementary matrix of grade p . Then it exists a sequence P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r , where $r = 3 \cdot 2^{p-1} - 2$, which is formed only by matrices $A, -A, B, -B$, such that it holds

$$(3) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r e^{P_i t} = E + P t^p + O(t^{p+1}), \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. For $p = 1$ it is obviously $e^{Pt} = E + Pt + O(t^2)$. Let (3) hold for an integer $p > 0$, then we can write

$$\prod_{i=1}^r e^{P_i t} = E + P t^p + Q t^{p+1} + O(t^{p+2}).$$

The matrix-function $(\prod_{i=1}^r e^{P_i t})^{-1}$ is entire and it holds

$$(\prod_{i=1}^r e^{P_i t})^{-1} = E - P t^p + R t^{p+1} + O(t^{p+2}),$$

where $R = P^2 - Q$ for $p = 1$ and $R = -Q$ for $p > 1$.

We can now write

$$(\prod_{i=1}^r e^{P_i t}) e^{At} (\prod_{i=1}^r e^{P_i t})^{-1} e^{-At} = (E + P t^p + Q t^{p+1} + O(t^{p+2})).$$

$$\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} t^k A^k \right) (E - Pt^p + Rt^{p+1} + O(t^{p+2})) \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} (-t)^k A^k =$$

$$E + [A, P] t^{p+1} + O(t^{p+2}), \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

The formula for the number of the multipliers follows immediately from the construction.

Lemma 2. Let $P \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$, $P = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i P_i$, where $a_i > 0$, $P_i \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$ is an elementary matrix of grade p_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Let us put $p = \max_i p_i$ and denote by $F_i(t)$ the matrix (3) which corresponds to the matrix P_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Then it holds:

$$(4) \quad F(t) = \prod_{i=1}^s F_i(a_i^{1/p_i} t^{p/p_i}) = E + Pt^p + O(t^{p+1}), \quad t \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{Proof. } \prod_{i=1}^s F_i(a_i^{1/p_i} t^{p/p_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^s (E + a_i P_i t^p + O(t^{(p/p_i)(p_i+1)})) =$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^s (E + a_i P_i t^p + O(t^{p+1})) = E + Pt^p + O(t^{p+1}).$$

Lemma 3. Let $P \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$, $P = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i P_i$, where $a_i > 0$, and $P_i \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$ is an elementary matrix of grade p_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Let us put $p = \max_i p_i$. Then there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there exists $(u, v) \in M_0$ and a constant $T \in (0, K \cdot \varepsilon^{1-p})$ such that for the solution $x(t, u, v, \omega)$ of (1) it holds

$$(5) \quad \|x(T, u, v, \omega) - e^{\alpha P} \omega\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. For $P = 0$ lemma is trivial. Let us further assume $P \neq 0$. Let us take a positive integer m and put

$$x_i = e^{(i\alpha/m)P} \omega, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

$$y_0 = \omega, \quad y_{i+1} = \left(E + \frac{\alpha}{m} P \right) y_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, (m-1)$$

Then it holds: $\|y_0 - x_0\| = 0$, $\|y_{i+1} - x_{i+1}\| \leq \|(E + (\alpha/m)P) y_i - e^{(\alpha/m)P} x_i\| \leq (1 + (\alpha/m)\|P\|) \|y_i - x_i\| + (\alpha^2/m^2)\|P\|^2 e^{(\alpha/m)\|P\|} \|x_i\|$. If we put $\varkappa = \max_{\tau \in (0, 1)} \|e^{\tau P} \omega\|$,

then $\|x_i\| \leq \varkappa$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$(6) \quad \|y_m - x_m\| \leq \varkappa \frac{\alpha^2}{m^2} \|P\|^2 e^{(\alpha/m)\|P\|} \frac{(1 + \alpha\|P\|/m)^m - 1}{\alpha\|P\|/m} < \varkappa \alpha\|P\|/m e^{(\alpha+\alpha/m)\|P\|}.$$

Now we put $z_0 = \omega$. Let us have already defined the points $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_i, i < m$. In lemma 2 the matrix-function (4) was constructed so that it exists a constant $K_1 > 0$, dependent only on the matrix P , such that it holds

$$\|F(t) - (E + Pt^p)\| \leq K_1 \cdot t^{p+1}, \quad t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle.$$

Furthermore according to lemma 2 for every $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ there exists $(u, v) \in M_\omega$ such that $F(t)z_i = x(\mathfrak{g}(t), u, v, z_i)$, where $\mathfrak{g}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^s (3 \cdot 2^{p_i-1} - 2) a_i^{1/p_i} t^{p_i/p_i}$. If we put $t = (\alpha/m)^{1/p}$ and $z_{i+1} = x(\mathfrak{g}(t), u, v, z_i)$, we get

$$\left\| z_{i+1} - \left(E + \frac{\alpha}{m} P \right) z_i \right\| \leq K_1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{m} \right)^{1+(1/p)} \|z_i\|.$$

Thus we have defined all points z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m by mathematical induction.

It holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{i+1}\| &\leq \left\| z_{i+1} - \left(E + \frac{\alpha}{m} P \right) z_i \right\| + \left\| \left(E + \frac{\alpha}{m} P \right) z_i \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \left(K_1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{m} \right)^{1+(1/p)} + 1 + \frac{\alpha}{m} \|P\| \right) \|z_i\| < \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{m} (K_1 + \|P\|) \right) \|z_i\| < \\ &< \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{m} (K_1 + \|P\|) \right)^m \|z_0\| < e^{\alpha(K_1 + \|P\|)} \|\omega\|. \end{aligned}$$

So all points $z_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, are contained in the sphere $\|z\| < e^{\alpha(K_1 + \|P\|)} \|\omega\|$.

Further it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{i+1} - y_{i+1}\| &\leq \left\| z_{i+1} - \left(E + \frac{\alpha}{m} P \right) z_i \right\| + \left\| \left(E + \frac{\alpha}{m} P \right) z_i - \left(E + \frac{\alpha}{m} P \right) y_i \right\| \leq \\ &\leq K_1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{m} \right)^{1+(1/p)} e^{\alpha(K_1 + \|P\|)} \|\omega\| + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{m} \|P\| \right) \|z_i - y_i\|, \\ (7) \quad \|z_m - y_m\| &\leq K_1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{m} \right)^{1+(1/p)} e^{\alpha(K_1 + \|P\|)} \|\omega\| \frac{(1 + (\alpha/m) \|P\|)^m - 1}{(\alpha/m) \|P\|} < \\ &< K_1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{m} \right)^{1/p} \frac{1}{\|P\|} e^{\alpha(K_1 + 2\|P\|)} \|\omega\|. \end{aligned}$$

If we now put together the estimates (6), (7), we get $\|z_m - x_m\| < K_2(\alpha/m)^{1/p}$.

Now let us choose m so that $K_2(\alpha/m)^{1/p} < \varepsilon \leq K_2(\alpha/(m-1))^{1/p}$. Then (5) holds and we get the estimate for T :

$$\begin{aligned} T &\leq m \sum_{i=1}^s (3 \cdot 2^{p_i-1} - 2) a_i^{1/p_i} \left(\frac{\alpha}{m} \right)^{1/p_i} \leq m K_3 \left(\frac{\alpha}{m} \right)^{1/p} < m K_3 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{K_2} \leq \\ &\leq \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{K_2}{\varepsilon} \right)^p \right) K_3 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{K_2} < K \cdot \varepsilon^{1-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma is proved. •

Theorem. Let R_ω , resp. S_ω , be the set of all points $x \in E_n$ which can be linked with ω by a solution of the equation (1), resp. (2). Then $R_\omega = S_\omega$.

Moreover, each point from R_ω can be linked with ω by a solution of (1) which corresponds to some piecewise constant controls $u, v \in M$, values of which are only $-1, 1$.

Proof. Evidently every solution of (1) is also a solution of (2), hence $R_\omega \subset S_\omega$. We prove the inverse inclusion in two steps: 1) Let us choose $x \in S_\omega$, then there exists a solution $x(t)$, $t \geq 0$, of (2) and a number $T \geq 0$ such that $x = x(T)$.

Let $\dim V(\omega) = r$, then according to [1] every point $y \in S_\omega$ is contained in an r -dimensional manifold S , given by a mapping

$$\varphi(t) = e^{P_1 t_1} e^{P_2 t_2} \dots e^{P_r t_r} y, \quad t \in G,$$

where $G \subset E_r$ is some neighbourhood of the origin and matrices $P_i \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, are such that $V(y) = \{P_1 y, P_2 y, \dots, P_r y\}$. The set $\varphi(G)$ is open in S_ω .

Thus the compact set $E(x(t), t \in \langle 0, T \rangle)$ can be covered by a finite number of such manifolds. If we choose two points $x_{1,2} \in \varphi(G)$, then according to lemma 3 for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $(u, v) \in M_0$ and a number $t_0 > 0$ so that for the solution $x(t, u, v, x_1)$ of (1) it holds: $\|x_2 - x(t_0, u, v, x_1)\| < \varepsilon$.

If we repeat this procedure we get that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ it exists $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \in M_0$ and a number t_ε so that for the solution $x(t, u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, \omega)$ of (1) it holds: $\|x(t_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, \omega) - x\| < \varepsilon$.

2) Let us choose elementary matrices $Q_i \in \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$ with grades q_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, so that $V(x) = \{Q_1 x, Q_2 x, \dots, Q_r x\}$. To every matrix Q_i it corresponds the matrix-function (3), let us denote it by $F_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Now we take the mapping

$$(8) \quad \psi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r) = F_1(t_1^{1/p_1}) \cdot F_2(t_2^{1/p_2}) \dots F_r(t_r^{1/p_r}) x,$$

$$t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r)^* \in E_r.$$

Then the functional matrix $\partial\psi/\partial t|_{t=0}$ exists and has the vectors $Q_i x$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, as columns. So the rank of $\partial\psi/\partial t|_{t=0}$ is equal to r .

We choose so small open environ $G \subset E_r$ of the origin that the rank of $\partial\psi(t)/\partial t$ is equal to r for all $t \in G$. Then the set $\psi(G)$ is an open environ of x in S_ω . From the step 1) it is obvious that it exists $t_0 \in G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $x(t_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, \omega) = \psi(t_0)$. And from (8) immediately follows that there exists $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in M_0$ and $\tilde{\tau} > 0$ such that the solution $x(t, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \psi(t_0))$ of (1) passes through the point x .

3) Let us take the matrices $A_1 = A + B$, $B_1 = A - B$, instead of the matrices A, B . The matrices A_1, B_1 create the same space $\mathfrak{A}(A_1, B_1) = \mathfrak{A}(A, B)$ and hence the same distribution V and the same manifold S_ω .

$$Au + Bv = A_1(u + v) \cdot \frac{1}{2} + B_1(u - v) \cdot \frac{1}{2} = A_1 u_1 + B_1 v_1.$$

In the first two steps we have proved that for every point $x \in S_\omega$ there exists $(u_1, v_1) \in M_0$ and a number $t_1 > 0$ such that if we denote by $y(t, u_1, v_1, \omega)$ the solution of the equation

$$\dot{y} = (A_1 u_1 + B_1 v_1) y, \quad y(0, u_1, v_1, \omega) = \omega,$$

it is $x = y(t_1, u_1, v_1, \omega)$.

If we now put $u = u_1 + v_1$, $v = u_1 - v_1$, then u, v are piecewise constant, have only the values $-1, 1$ and it holds $x = y(t_1, u_1, v_1, \omega) = x(t_1, u, v, \omega)$.

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] *J. Kučera*: Solution in large of control problem $\dot{x} = (A(1 - u) + Bu)x$. Czech. Math. J. 16 (91), 1966, 600—623.
 [2] *C. Chevalley*: Theory of Lie Groups I, Princeton University Press, 1946.

Author's address: Praha 1, Žitná 25, ČSSR (Matematický ústav ČSAV).

Резюме

РЕШЕНИЕ В ЦЕЛОМ УРАВНЕНИЯ УПРАВЛЕНИЯ

$$\dot{x} = (Au + Bv)x$$

ЯН КУЧЕРА, (Jan Kučera), Прага

В работе показано что равны множества R_ω или S_ω всех точек, в которые возможно попасть из данной начальной точки ω по некотором решению уравнения (1) или (2). В каждую точку из R_ω возможно попасть при помощи по частях постоянных управлений u, v , которые имеют только величины $1, -1$.